

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
1A	Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded	Very limited	Fluvaquents, frequently flooded 45% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Frequent or very frequent flooding Low water holding capacity Udifluvents, frequently flooded 40% Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Seepage Frequent or very frequent flooding Wayland 10% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Frequent or very frequent flooding
2A	Geneseo silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Geneseo 90% Occasional flooding Rapid water movement Naples Creek 10% Rapid water movement Occasional flooding
3A	Hemlock silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Hemlock 90% Occasional flooding Rapid water movement Naples Creek 10% Rapid water movement Occasional flooding
4A	Naples Creek silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Naples Creek 90% Rapid water movement Occasional flooding Hemlock 5% Occasional flooding Rapid water movement
5A	Wayland soils complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded	Very limited	Wayland 60% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Frequent or very frequent flooding Wayland, very poorly drained 30% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Frequent or very frequent flooding
12D	Rockrift channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Rockrift 85% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Mongaup, very stony 10% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
13F	Rock outcrop-Arnot complex, 25 to 70 percent slopes	Not rated	Rock outcrop 55%

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
14D	Cadosia channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Cadosia 85% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Content of large stones Lordstown, very stony 10% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
15A	Guyanoga channery silt loam, fan, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Guyanoga, fan 90% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Content of large stones Hemlock 5% Occasional flooding Rapid water movement
15B	Guyanoga channery silt loam, fan, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Guyanoga, fan 90% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Content of large stones Chenango, fan 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
16A	Almond channery silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Almond 80% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Norchip 8% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Ontusia 7% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Gretor 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
16B	Almond channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Almond 80% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Gretor 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Salamanca 5% Slope Rapid water movement Ontusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Norchip 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
16C	Almond channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Almond 80% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Salamanca 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Norchip 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Ontusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Gretor 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
18A	Homer fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Homer 90% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Phelps 5% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Argiaquolls 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
19A	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic, Typic Argiaquolls, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Argiaquolls 80% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Homer 8% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Atherton 7% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Palms, undrained 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage
20A	Atherton and Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic, Typic Argiaquolls, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Atherton 41% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Argiaquolls 39% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Homer 8% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Canandaigua 7% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Castile 5% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
24A	Howard gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Howard 80% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Palmyra 10% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 5% Rapid water movement Seepage Phelps 5% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
24B	Howard gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Howard 80% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Palmyra 10% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Phelps 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
24C	Howard gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Howard 80% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Palmyra 10% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Phelps 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
24D	Howard soils, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Howard 65% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Palmyra 20% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 13% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Phelps 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
25A	Chenango gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango 90% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Castile 8% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Valois 2% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
25B	Chenango gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Castile 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Valois 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
25C	Chenango gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Castile 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Valois 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
25D	Chenango gravelly loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Castile 8% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Valois 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
25E	Chenango gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Valois 10% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
26B	Chenango channery loam, fan, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Chenango, fan 85% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Guyanoga, fan 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Content of large stones Castile 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
27B	Castile gravelly silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Castile 85% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Phelps 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Chenango 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Homer 5% Seepage Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
31A	Collamer silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Collamer 85% Rapid water movement Niagara 10% Rapid water movement
31B	Collamer silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Collamer 85% Slope Rapid water movement Niagara 10% Slope Rapid water movement Schoharie 5% Slope

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
31C	Collamer silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Collamer 85% Slope Rapid water movement Niagara 10% Slope Rapid water movement Schoharie 5% Slope
31D	Collamer silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Collamer 90% Slope Rapid water movement Schoharie 5% Slope Niagara 5% Slope Rapid water movement
32A	Dunkirk fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Dunkirk 90% Rapid water movement Niagara 3% Rapid water movement
32B	Dunkirk fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 90% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 4% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Schoharie 3% Slope Niagara 3% Slope Rapid water movement
33A	Dunkirk silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Dunkirk 90% Rapid water movement Niagara 3% Rapid water movement
33B	Dunkirk silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 90% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 4% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Schoharie 3% Slope Niagara 3% Slope Rapid water movement
33C	Dunkirk silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 90% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 4% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Schoharie 3% Slope Niagara 3% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
33D	Dunkirk silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 90% Slope Rapid water movement Schoharie 5% Slope Arkport 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
33E	Dunkirk silt loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 90% Slope Rapid water movement Schoharie 5% Slope Arkport 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
34A	Lakemont silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Lakemont 85% Depth to saturated zone Fonda 4% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Canandaigua 4% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Barre 2% Depth to saturated zone
35A	Odessa silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Not limited	Odessa 85% Schoharie 5% Rhinebeck 2%
35B	Odessa silty clay loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Odessa 85% Slope Schoharie 6% Slope Lakemont 4% Depth to saturated zone Churchville 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Subsidence hazard Rapid water movement Rhinebeck 2% Slope
36A	Schoharie silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Not limited	Schoharie 85% Odessa 5% Cayuga 3%
36B	Schoharie silty clay loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Schoharie 85% Slope Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 5% Slope Cayuga 3% Slope Collamer 2% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
36C	Schoharie silty clay loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Schoharie 85% Slope Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 5% Slope Cayuga 3% Slope Collamer 2% Slope Rapid water movement
36D	Schoharie silty clay loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Schoharie 85% Slope Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 5% Slope Cayuga 3% Slope Collamer 2% Slope Rapid water movement
36E	Schoharie silty clay loam, 25 to 45 percent slopes	Very limited	Schoharie 85% Slope Odessa 5% Slope Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Cayuga 3% Slope Collamer 2% Slope Rapid water movement
37A	Schoharie silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Not limited	Schoharie 85% Odessa 5% Cayuga 3%
37B	Schoharie silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Schoharie 85% Slope Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 5% Slope Cayuga 3% Slope Collamer 2% Slope Rapid water movement
38A	Niagara silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Niagara 85% Rapid water movement Collamer 5% Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
38B	Niagara silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Niagara 85% Slope Rapid water movement Canandaigua 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Rhinebeck 5% Slope Collamer 5% Slope Rapid water movement
39A	Rhinebeck silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Not limited	Rhinebeck 90%
41A	Aeric Epiaquepts, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Aeric Epiaquepts 50% Rapid water movement Seepage Aeric Epiaquepts 45% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Elnora 5% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
43A	Canandaigua silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Canandaigua 90% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Canandaigua 4% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Lakemont 3% Depth to saturated zone
44A	Canandaigua mucky silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Canandaigua 90% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Lakemont 3% Depth to saturated zone Palms, undrained 2% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage
45A	Fonda mucky silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Fonda 95% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Canandaigua 3% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Palms, undrained 2% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
46A	Galen fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Galen 90% Rapid water movement Slope Seepage Aeric Epiaquepts 5% Rapid water movement Seepage
46B	Galen fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Galen 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Kendaia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Aeric Epiaquepts 5% Rapid water movement Seepage
48A	Arkport fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 95% Rapid water movement Seepage Galen 2% Rapid water movement Seepage
48B	Arkport fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Dunkirk 3% Slope Rapid water movement Galen 2% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
48C	Arkport fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Dunkirk 3% Slope Rapid water movement Galen 2% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
48D	Arkport fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Dunkirk 8% Slope Rapid water movement Palmyra 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
49B	Arkport loamy fine sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Dunkirk 3% Slope Rapid water movement Galen 2% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
49D	Arkport loamy fine sand, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Dunkirk 3% Slope Rapid water movement Palmyra 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
49E	Arkport loamy fine sand, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Dunkirk 8% Slope Rapid water movement Palmyra 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
49F	Arkport loamy fine sand, 35 to 55 percent slopes	Very limited	Arkport 90% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Dunkirk 8% Slope Rapid water movement Palmyra 2% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
50B	Dunkirk-Arkport complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 50% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 45% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Collamer 5% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
50C	Dunkirk-Arkport complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 60% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 35% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Collamer 5% Slope Rapid water movement
50D	Dunkirk-Arkport complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Dunkirk 60% Slope Rapid water movement Arkport 35% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Collamer 5% Slope Rapid water movement
53A	Lamson fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Lamson 90% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Lamson 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Low water holding capacity Seepage Canandaigua 3% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Galen 2% Rapid water movement Seepage
54A	Lamson mucky fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Lamson 90% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Low water holding capacity Seepage Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Lamson 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage
56A	Elnora loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Elnora 90% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Aeric Epiaquepts 10% Rapid water movement Seepage

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
58B	Colonie loamy fine sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Colonie 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Elnora 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
58C	Colonie loamy fine sand, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Colonie 95% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Elnora 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
62B	Mardin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Mardin 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Lordstown 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Bath 5% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Volusia 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
62C	Mardin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Mardin 88% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Bath 5% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Volusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Lordstown 2% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
62D	Mardin channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Mardin 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Lordstown 5% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Volusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Bath 5% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
62E	Mardin channery silt loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Mardin 80% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Bath 8% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Lordstown, very stony 7% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Volusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
63B	Langford channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Langford 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Erie 10% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Schuyler 5% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
63C	Langford channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Langford 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Chadakoin 5% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Low water holding capacity Erie 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Schuyler 5% Slope Rapid water movement
63D	Langford channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Langford 80% Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Erie 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Schuyler 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Towerville 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Chadakoin 5% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Low water holding capacity
64B	Langford-Erie channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Langford 50% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Erie 40% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Fremont 5% Depth to saturated zone Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
66A	Lyons soils, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Lyons 75% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lyons, frequently ponded 15% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Canandaigua 3% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Kendaia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Palms, undrained 1% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Ilion 1% Depth to saturated zone
68A	Volusia channery silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Volusia 90% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid
68B	Volusia channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Volusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid
68C	Volusia channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Volusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Mardin 6% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Chippewa 4% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
68D	Volusia channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Volusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Mardin 7% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Chippewa 3% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
69A	Erie channery silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Erie 80% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa 10% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Fremont 5% Depth to saturated zone Slope Rapid water movement Langford 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
69B	Erie channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Erie 80% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Langford 10% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Chippewa 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Fremont 5% Depth to saturated zone Slope Rapid water movement
69C	Erie channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Erie 80% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Langford 10% Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Fremont 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Chippewa 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
71A	Darien silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Darien 95% Rapid water movement Angola 1% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
71B	Darien silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Darien 95% Slope Rapid water movement Ilion 4% Slope Depth to saturated zone Angola 1% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
71C	Darien silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Darien 95% Slope Rapid water movement Ilion 4% Slope Depth to saturated zone Angola 1% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
72A	Darien-Ilion silt loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Darien 68% Rapid water movement Angola 5% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
72B	Darien-Ilion silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Darien 68% Slope Rapid water movement Ilion 27% Slope Depth to saturated zone Angola 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
73B	Gretor silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Gretor 95% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Gretor, poorly drained 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
73C	Greter silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Greter 95% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Greter, poorly drained 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
73D	Greter channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Greter 90% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Mongaup, very stony 8% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Greter, poorly drained 2% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
76B	Orpark silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Orpark 95% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Orpark, poorly drained 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
76C	Orpark silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Orpark 95% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Orpark, poorly drained 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
76D	Orpark channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Orpark 90% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Orpark, poorly drained 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Lordstown, very stony 5% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
77A	Chippewa silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Chippewa 85% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa, very poorly drained 10% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Low water holding capacity Volusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
77B	Chippewa silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Chippewa 85% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Volusia 10% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Chippewa, very poorly drained 5% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Low water holding capacity
82B	Manlius channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Manlius 95% Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement Depth to soft bedrock Gretor 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
82C	Manlius channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Manlius 95% Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement Depth to soft bedrock Gretor 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
82D	Manlius channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Manlius 95% Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement Depth to soft bedrock Annot, very stony 4% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement Gretor 1% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
91A	Palms muck, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Palms, undrained 55% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Palms, drained 40% Rapid water movement Depth to saturated zone Seepage Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement
92A	Carlisle muck, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Carlisle, undrained 45% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Carlisle, drained 40% Rapid water movement Depth to saturated zone Seepage Palms, undrained 10% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
93A	Edwards muck, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Edwards, undrained 50% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Calcium carbonate Seepage Edwards, drained 35% Rapid water movement Depth to saturated zone Calcium carbonate Seepage Martisco, undrained 10% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Calcium carbonate Seepage Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement
94A	Martisco muck, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Martisco, undrained 55% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Calcium carbonate Seepage Canandaigua 5% Depth to saturated zone Ponding Rapid water movement Palms, drained 5% Rapid water movement Depth to saturated zone Seepage
95A	Saprists, 0 to 3 percent slopes, inundated	Very limited	Saprists, inundated 85% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Palms, undrained 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage Fluvaquents, frequently flooded 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Seepage Frequent or very frequent flooding Low water holding capacity Carlisle, undrained 5% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
101A	Honeoye loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Honeoye 85% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
101B	Honeoye loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Honeoye 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
101C	Honeoye loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Honeoye 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
101D	Honeoye loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Honeoye 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
101E	Honeoye loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Honeoye 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
104A	Honeoye loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, lower clay surface	Somewhat limited	Honeoye, lower clay surface 85% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
104B	Honeoye loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, lower clay surface	Very limited	Honeoye, lower clay surface 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
104C	Honeoye loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, lower clay surface	Very limited	Honeoye, lower clay surface 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 4% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 2% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
106B	Danley-Lansing complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Danley 50% Slope Rapid water movement Lansing 45% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Conesus 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 1% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Palatine 1% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Appleton 1% Slope Rapid water movement
107B	Conesus-Lansing complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Conesus 50% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 45% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 1% Slope Rapid water movement Danley 1% Slope Rapid water movement Palatine 1% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
108C	Lansing loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Lansing 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Conesus 8% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Danley 1% Slope Rapid water movement Wassaic 1% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
108D	Lansing loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Lansing 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Conesus 9% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Wassaic 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Kendaia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 1% Slope Rapid water movement
108E	Lansing loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Lansing 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 10% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Aurora 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
112B	Ontario fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
112C	Ontario fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
112D	Ontario fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
112E	Ontario fine sandy loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
114B	Ontario gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
114C	Ontario gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
114D	Ontario gravelly loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
116B	Ontario loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
116C	Ontario loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
116D	Ontario loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Honeoye 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Hilton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 2% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
118F	Ontario, Honeoye, and Lansing soils, 35 to 55 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontario 40% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 35% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lansing 20% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Aurora 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock
120E	Palmyra and Howard soils, 25 to 45 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 55% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Howard 40% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Colonie 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
122A	Palmyra cobbly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 95% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
122B	Palmyra cobbly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 95% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Honeoye, lower clay surface 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
124A	Palmyra fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Seepage Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Howard 10% Rapid water movement Seepage Slope Low water holding capacity
124B	Palmyra fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Howard 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity
126A	Palmyra gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 95% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 5% Rapid water movement Seepage
126B	Palmyra gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 95% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 5% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
126C	Palmyra gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
126D	Palmyra gravelly loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Arkport 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
128A	Palmyra gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Seepage Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Arkport 10% Rapid water movement Seepage
128B	Palmyra gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Arkport 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
128C	Palmyra gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Palmyra 90% Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Arkport 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage
130A	Farmington loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Farmington 90% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Galoo 5% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity
130B	Farmington loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Farmington 90% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Galoo 5% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Nuhi 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
132A	Galoo loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, rocky	Very limited	Galoo 95% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
132B	Galoo loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, rocky	Very limited	Galoo 95% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Nuhi 4% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
134A	Camillus silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Camillus 95% Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Angola 5% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
134B	Camillus silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Camillus 95% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Angola 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
151C	Willdin-Norchip complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Willdin 60% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Norchip 38% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Palms, undrained 2% Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Ponding Seepage
152B	Valois gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Cadosia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Content of large stones Volusia 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
152C	Valois gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Cadosia 5% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Content of large stones Volusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
152D	Valois gravelly loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Cadosia 6% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Content of large stones Mardin 6% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Volusia 3% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
152E	Valois gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Cadosia 6% Slope Rapid water movement Too acid Content of large stones Mardin 6% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Towerville, extremely stony 3% Slope Content of large stones Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
153B	Valois gravelly loam, cool, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois, cool 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Ontusia 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Rockrift 5% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
153C	Valois gravelly loam, cool, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois, cool 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Ontusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Rockrift 5% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
153D	Valois gravelly loam, cool, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois, cool 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Rockrift 6% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 6% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Ontusia 3% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
153E	Valois gravelly loam, cool, 25 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Valois, cool 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Rockrft 6% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 6% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Ischua 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement
162B	Willdin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Willdin 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Lewbath 5% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Middlebrook 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Ontusia 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
162C	Willdin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Willdin 85% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Ontusia 6% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Lewbath 6% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Middlebrook 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
162D	Willdin channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Willdin 80% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Lewbath 10% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Mongaup 5% Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Depth to hard bedrock Ontusia 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
168A	Ontusia channery silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontusia 88% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Norchip 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
168B	Ontusia channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Norchip 5% Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
168C	Ontusia channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Norchip 5% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Willdin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
168D	Ontusia channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Ontusia 90% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity Willdin 7% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Norchip 3% Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
171C	Lordstown-Manlius-Towerville complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	Very limited	Lordstown, very stony 40% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Towerville, very stony 20% Slope Content of large stones Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Manlius, very stony 20% Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Depth to soft bedrock Content of large stones Cadosia, very stony 10% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Content of large stones Low water holding capacity Mardin, very stony 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid Arnot, very stony 5% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
171D	Lordstown-Manlius-Towerville complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes, very stony	Very limited	Lordstown, very stony 40% Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Manlius, very stony 20% Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Depth to soft bedrock Content of large stones Towerville, very stony 20% Slope Content of large stones Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Cadosia, very stony 10% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Content of large stones Low water holding capacity Arnot, very stony 5% Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement Mardin 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Too acid

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
171E	Lordstown-Manlius-Towerville complex, 25 to 35 percent slopes, extremely stony	Very limited	<p>Lordstown, extremely stony 40%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Towerville, extremely stony 20%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Content of large stones Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Manlius, extremely stony 20%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Depth to soft bedrock Content of large stones <p>Cadosia, extremely stony 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Content of large stones Low water holding capacity <p>Arnot, very stony 5%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement <p>Mardin, extremely stony 5%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Seepage Low water holding capacity Too acid

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
171F	Lordstown-Manlius-Towerville complex, 35 to 80 percent slopes, extremely stony	Very limited	<p>Lordstown, extremely stony 40%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Towerville, extremely stony 20%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Content of large stones Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Manlius, extremely stony 20%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Depth to soft bedrock Content of large stones <p>Arnot, extremely stony 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depth to hard bedrock Slope Low water holding capacity Seepage Rapid water movement <p>Cadosia, extremely stony 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Content of large stones Low water holding capacity
177A	Norchip silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	<p>Norchip 85%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity <p>Norchip, very poorly drained 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depth to saturated zone Ponding Low water holding capacity <p>Ontusia 5%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity
177B	Norchip silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	<p>Norchip 85%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity <p>Norchip, very poorly drained 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depth to saturated zone Ponding Low water holding capacity <p>Ontusia 5%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Depth to saturated zone Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
181B	Mongaup-Ischua complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Mongaup 45% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Ischua 40% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Rockrift 10% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Greter 2% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
181C	Mongaup-Ischua complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Mongaup 45% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Ischua 40% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Rockrift 10% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 3% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Greter 2% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
181D	Mongaup-Ischua complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes, very stony	Very limited	<p>Mongaup, very stony 45%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement <p>Ischua, very stony 40%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement <p>Rockrift 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid <p>Willdin 3%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Greter 2%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
181E	Mongaup-Ischua complex, 25 to 35 percent slopes, extremely stony	Very limited	<p>Mongaup, extremely stony 45%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement <p>Ischua, extremely stony 40%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement <p>Rockrift 10%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid <p>Willdin 3%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement <p>Greter 2%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
182B	Mongaup channery loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Mongaup 75% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Rockrift 10% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 8% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Ischua 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Greter 2% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
182C	Mongaup channery loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Mongaup 75% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Rockrift 10% Slope Rapid water movement Content of large stones Too acid Willdin 8% Slope Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Ischua 5% Slope Low water holding capacity Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Greter 2% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
201A	Lima loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Lima 85% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 3% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 2% Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
201B	Lima loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Lima 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 6% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Lyons 1% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
201C	Lima loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Lima 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 7% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
204A	Lima loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, lower clay surface	Somewhat limited	Lima 85% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 5% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 3% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 2% Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
204B	Lima loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, lower clay surface	Very limited	Lima 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Honeoye 6% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Appleton 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Kendaia 3% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Cazenovia 2% Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Lyons 1% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard
210A	Phelps gravelly silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Phelps 85% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Galen 10% Rapid water movement Seepage Homer 5% Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
210B	Phelps gravelly silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Phelps 85% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity Galen 10% Slope Rapid water movement Seepage Homer 5% Slope Seepage Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
212A	Nuhi silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Nuhi 85% Depth to hard bedrock Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
240B	Aurora-Angola silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 60% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Angola 30% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Danley 5% Slope Rapid water movement Darlen 5% Slope Rapid water movement
240C	Aurora-Angola silt loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 60% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Angola 30% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Darlen 5% Slope Rapid water movement Danley 5% Slope Rapid water movement
240D	Aurora-Angola silt loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 60% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Angola 30% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Darlen 5% Slope Rapid water movement Danley 5% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
241B	Aurora silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 85% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Angola 10% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Danley 5% Slope Rapid water movement
241C	Aurora silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 85% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Angola 8% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Danley 7% Slope Rapid water movement
241D	Aurora silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Aurora 85% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Danley 10% Slope Rapid water movement Angola 5% Slope Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement
255B	Cazenovia silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Cazenovia 85% Slope Rapid water movement Ovid 10% Slope Rapid water movement Cayuga 5% Slope
255C	Cazenovia silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Cazenovia 85% Slope Rapid water movement Cayuga 8% Slope Ovid 7% Slope Rapid water movement
255D	Cazenovia silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Cazenovia 85% Slope Rapid water movement Cayuga 10% Slope Ovid 5% Slope Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York

Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
260B	Cayuga silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Cayuga 85% Slope Schoharie 10% Slope Odessa 5% Slope
260C	Cayuga silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Cayuga 85% Slope Schoharie 10% Slope Odessa 5% Slope
260D	Cayuga silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Cayuga 85% Slope Lansing 10% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Schoharie 5% Slope
304A	Kendaia loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Kendaia 85% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 6% Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Ovid 2% Rapid water movement
304B	Kendaia loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Kendaia 85% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lima 7% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Lyons 4% Slope Depth to saturated zone Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Churchville 2% Slope Ovid 2% Slope Rapid water movement
342A	Angola silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Angola 90% Depth to hard bedrock Low water holding capacity Rapid water movement Darren 5% Rapid water movement
356A	Ovid silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Somewhat limited	Ovid 85% Rapid water movement

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Ontario County, New York
Survey Area Version and Date: 23 - 09/05/2023

Map symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name and % composition Rating reasons
356B	Ovid silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ovid 85% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 10% Slope Lakemont 5% Depth to saturated zone
357B	Ovid silty clay loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Very limited	Ovid 85% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 10% Slope Lakemont 5% Depth to saturated zone
357C	Ovid silty clay loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Ovid 85% Slope Rapid water movement Odessa 10% Slope Lakemont 5% Depth to saturated zone
400A	Udorthents, loamy, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Udorthents, loamy 80% Rapid water movement Seepage Low water holding capacity Howard 5% Rapid water movement Seepage Slope Low water holding capacity Ontario 5% Slope Rapid water movement Subsidence hazard Palmyra 5% Seepage Slope Rapid water movement Low water holding capacity
401D	Udorthents, refuse substratum. 0 to 25 percent slopes	Not rated	Udorthents, refuse substratum 90%
PG	Pits, gravel and sand	Not rated	Pits, gravel and sand 75%
PQ	Pits, quarry	Not rated	Pits, quarry 80%
W	Water	Not rated	Water 100%

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

Rating Options

Attribute Name: Irrigation, Surface (Level)

This interpretation evaluates a soil's limitation(s) for basin, paddy, level furrow, or level border irrigation systems. The ratings are for soils in their natural condition and do not consider present land use.

Level surface irrigation systems use flood irrigation techniques to spread irrigation water at a specified depth across the application area. Basin, paddy, and borders generally use external ridges or borders to confine the water, while level furrow systems use furrow valleys and end blocks or border ridges to confine the water during irrigation. With furrow irrigation the crop is usually planted on the furrow ridge. Generally, basin, paddy and level border irrigation systems are suitable for rice, small grain, pasture, and forage production. Level furrow systems are generally suited for row crops.

The soil properties and qualities important in the design and management of level surface irrigation systems are depth, available water holding capacity, sodium adsorption ratio, saturated hydraulic conductivity, salinity, slope, and flooding. The soil properties and qualities that influence installation are depth, flooding, and ponding. The features that affect performance of the system and plant growth are salinity, sodium adsorption ratio, and available water holding capacity.

The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the interpretation. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by the soil features that affect the soil interpretation. Verbal soil rating classes are based on the highest numerical rating for the most limiting soil feature(s) considered in the rating process. "Not limited" (numerical value for the most restrictive feature = 0.00) indicates that the soil has no limiting features for the specified use. "Somewhat limited" (numerical value for the most restrictive feature = .01 to .99) indicates that the soil has limiting features for the specified use that can be overcome with proper planning, design, installation, and management. The effort required to overcome a soil limitation increases as the numerical rating increases. "Very limited" (numerical value for the most restrictive feature = 1.00) indicates that the soil has one or more very limiting features that can only be overcome with special planning, major soil modification, special design, or significant management practices.

Lesser soil restrictive features have a lower numerical value than the maximum used to rate the soil, and they are identified to provide the user with additional information about soil limitations for the specific use. Lesser soil restrictive features also need to be considered in planning, design, installation, and management.

The results of this interpretation are not designed or intended to be used in a regulatory manner.

The map unit components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as listed for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is presented to help the user better understand the percentage of each map unit that has the rating presented.

Other components with different ratings may be present in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless of the map unit aggregated rating, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value to represent the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. The components in the map unit name represent the major soils within a map unit delineation. Minor components make up the balance of the map unit. Great differences in soil properties can occur between map unit components and within short distances. Minor components may be very different from the major components. Such differences could significantly affect use and management of the map unit. Minor components may or may not be documented in the database. The results of aggregation do not reflect the presence or absence of limitations of the components which are not listed in the database. An on-site investigation is required to identify the location of individual map unit components.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in

Irrigation, Surface (Level)

some, but not all, aggregation methods.

For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be generated. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.