

ONTARIO COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

Referrals for Review at the: **Coordinated Review Committee Meeting – Cancelled**
County Planning Board Meeting May 12, 2021 at 7:00pm Virtual Meeting Click Join Meeting hyperlink below
 Telephone: 585-396-4455

This document will serve as both the *draft* minutes for the Ontario County Planning Board and as the **Official Notice of Findings and Decision** for the applications reviewed by the CPB. It can also be viewed at the Ontario County Planning Department Website <http://www.co.ontario.ny.us/index.aspx?nid=516>

Attendance and Minutes.....3
Referral Reviews and Board Action.....3
General Procedures and Legal Obligations for Referring Agencies.....16

Action Key - Recommended referring body action: A = approve, A-M = Approve with Modification, D = disapproval

Referral No	Municipality	Referring Board	Applicant	Application Type	Class/Action	Page
87 - 2021	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Lewis, Anthony	Site Plan	2/A	3
88- 2021	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Venezia Associates	Site Plan	1	4
89 - 2021	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Marks, Brennan	Site Plan	1	5
90 - 2021	Town of Canandaigua	Zoning Board of Appeals	Steele, Sue	Area Variance	AR 1	5
91 - 2021	Town of Canandaigua	Zoning Board of Appeals	Bushen, Lucas	Area Variance	AR 1	6
92 - 2021	Town of Farmington	Planning Board	Marchenese, Robert	Site Plan	1	7
93 - 2021	Town of Richmond	Town Board	Town of Richmond	Local Law	2/A	7
94 - 2021	Town of Farmington	Planning Board	Loomis Road Industrial Park, LLC	Subdivision	1	7
95- 2021	Village of Naples	Planning Board	John Bagley-Jay Ladue LLC	Site Plan	1	8
96 - 2021	Town of Victor	Planning Board	Crown Castle	Special Use Permit	1	8
97 - 2021	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Wine Valley Holdings LLC	Site Plan	2/A	9
98- 2021	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Sulli,Anthony	Site Plan	1	9
98.1 - 2021	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Sulli, Anthony	Special Use Permit	1	9
99 - 2021	Village of Bloomfield	Planning Board	Duval, Ryan & Kim	Site Plan	1	10
99.1 - 2021	Village of Bloomfield	Planning Board	Duvan, Ryan & Kim	Special Use Permit	1	10
100 - 2021	City of Geneva	Planning Board	Thrasher, James	Site Plan	2/A	11
101 - 2021	City of Geneva	City Council	WJCA	Map Amendment	2/A	12
101.1 - 2021	City of Geneva	City Council	WJCA	Text Amendment	Withdrawn	12
102- 2021	City of Canandaigua	Zoning Board of Appeals	Brunelle, Christian	Area Variance	1	14
102.1- 2021	City of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Brunelle, Christian	Site Plan	1	14
103- 2021	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Fitzgerald, James	Site Plan	1	15

Linda Phillips is inviting you to a scheduled Webex meeting.

Wednesday, May 12, 2021

7:00 PM | (UTC-04:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada) | 2 hrs 30 mins

[Join meeting](#)

More ways to join:

Join from the meeting link

<https://ontariocountyny.webex.com/ontariocountyny/j.php?MTID=m8e31d58f613b0b56b3aab5f55d01c444>

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 173 580 8287

Meeting password: tEgmnKgr332

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

[+1-408-418-9388,,1735808287##](tel:+1-408-418-9388,1735808287##) United States Toll

Join by phone

+1-408-418-9388 United States Toll

[Global call-in numbers](#)

Join from a video system or application

Dial [1735808287@ontariocountyny.webex.com](tel:1735808287@ontariocountyny.webex.com)

You can also dial 173.243.2.68 and enter your meeting number.

Join using Microsoft Lync or Microsoft Skype for Business

Need help? Go to <https://help.webex.com>

Dial [1735808287.ontariocountyny@lync.webex.com](tel:1735808287.ontariocountyny@lync.webex.com)

Cities	Member name in bold if on local legislative, planning, or zoning board	P-Present Virtual	A – Absent, E – Excused Absence,	V –
Canandaigua	Christen Smith			V
Geneva	Paul Passavant			V
Towns				
Bristol	AJ Magnan			V
Canadice	Stephen Groet PB		E	
Canandaigua	David Wink			V
East Bloomfield	Mike Woodruff PB			V
Farmington	Patti Wirth		E	
Geneva	Steven High	P		
Gorham	Jack Dailey PB			V
Hopewell	Bill Namestnik	P		
Manchester	Sue Kell			V
Naples	Carol O'Brien PB			V
Phelps	Glen Wilkes ZBA	P		
Richmond	Leonard Wildman PB			V
Seneca	Vacant	-----	-----	-----
South Bristol	Bessie Tyrrell PB			V
Victor	Marty Avila			V
West Bloomfield	Sue Boardman ZBA			V
Alternate Member	Greg Farkas		A	

Call To Order/Roll Call: Chair Wildman called the 5/12/21 CPB meeting to order at 7: 05 and requested Ms. Holley to do roll call. Ms. Holley presented roll call and reported that there were (12) members present virtually and (3) members physically at 20 Ontario Street and, meeting the quorum requirement.

Guests Mark Demshock/Rivers Edge Capital- WJCA/American Legion, Betsy Brugg/Woods Oviatt Gliman-WJCA/American Legion, Joel Torelli/WJCA-American Legion, Matt Tomlinson/Marathon Engineering-WJCA/American Legion, Bob Winans/DDS-Loomis Road Industrial Park, Sal Licciardello/resident-Bryne Dairy

Minutes:

- *Motion made by David Wink to approve the April 14, 2021 minutes, seconded by Mike Woodruff (required abstention Bessie) Motion carried.*
- *No motion taken to approve the February 10, 2021 minutes **as revised to mention recommendation for approval in Board Motions for referrals 34-2021 and 35-2021** due to insufficient number of voting members to approve due to required abstentions, some related to membership changes. (Required abstentions Mike, Carol, glen, Marty, AJ, Sue, Christen)*

87 - 2021 Town of Geneva Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 2

Site plan for construction of a 15,000 SF plastics manufacturing plant on vacant parcel at east side of SR 14 south of Gambee Road in the Town of Geneva.

Applicant: Lewis, Anthony

Property Owner: RDJ Holdings LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 91.00-1-12.12

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28983/87-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28984/87-2021- 2021-05-06-14-12-41>

According to OnCor, the 19 acre project site abuts a City of Geneva industrial area to the south. The site is traversed from north to south by a stream and from southeast to northwest by overhead power lines. The majority of the site is characterized as a NYSDEC wetland and a floodplain, leaving the quarter of the lot at the western end of the site available for development.

The proposed construction activities are well outside the wetland buffer and outside the floodplain. The proposed site driveway is just south of the power lines. The power lines cross the parking area and the building is located along the northern property line. The manufacturing plant includes a loading dock and the site includes 13 parking spaces, pole and building mounted lighting, and a stormwater system that drains from the development area to an infiltration basin to the southeast. The site plan indicates fir and pine plantings along the northern property line and as a screen to minimize views to the loading dock from the road. The site plan does not indicate landscaping along the road frontage. The site plan indicates new lawn east of the building and loading area in the area disturbed for the stormwater system. The site plan also indicates new lawn to the south of the parking area, although there are no development activities shown in this area.

Comments

1. The site plan should show the limit of disturbance to clarify what existing vegetation along the road frontage and southern portion of the site will be retained. If existing roadside vegetation will be removed, streetscape landscaping, including a shade tree for each 30' of frontage and additional shrub and perennial plants should be provided. The referring body should consider the desired urban or rural character and related spacing and massing of plant materials.

OCSWCD Comments

1. Line indicated to be drainage in legends appears to indicate easement boundary on plans.
2. Infiltration basin detail not provided

CPB Comment – To protect the character of this primary tourism corridor, the referring body should consider requesting re-location of parking further from the roadway.

Board Motion: To retain referral 87-2021 as a class 2 and return it to the local board with comments and a recommendation of approval. **Motion made by:** Christen Smith **Seconded by:** Mike Woodruff
Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

88 - 2021 Town of Canandaigua Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 1

Site plan for renovation of 4,300 SF existing barn and 3,370 SF event tent and parking area reconfiguration at Canandaigua County Club 3280 Fallbrook Park in the Town of Canandaigua.

Applicant: Venezia Associates

Property Owner: Canandaigua Country Club

Tax Map Parcel No: 98.00-1-39.111

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28985/88-2021-Fallbrook-Park-3280-2021-04-08-Site-Plan>

The referral includes minor site modifications to renovate 1,440 SF of the existing 4,300 SF utility shed as added event space and connection of the building via a covered walkway to the existing event tent. It also appears a small patio area at the north end of the event tent will be covered for a total event tent area of 3,370 SF.

CLCSD Comment Sewer connection plans are in our office for review. Permit to be required.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89- 2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments
Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes
Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

89 - 2021 Town of Canandaigua Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 1

Site plan and subdivision for development of 2,000' of public road, 116 townhouses, and 2 single family homes east of SR 364 north of Otetiana Pointe in the Town of Canandaigua. Development includes additional single family homes in Hopewell.

Applicant: Marks, Brennan

Property Owner: Eiffert, Carol

Tax Map Parcel No: 98.19-1-20.100

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28986/89-2021-subdivision-site-plan-State-Route-364-3535-2021-04-07->

This parcel borders undeveloped land in the Town of Hopewell to the east and the Town of Gorham to the south.

The proposed dedicated road is shown as a through road to CR 18 with 29 4-unit townhouse buildings facing a series of mostly looped private roads. The townhouse development includes 348 garage/driveway parking spaces and an additional 104 off street parking spaces. The townhouses are setback 60' from East Lake Road while the front setbacks of end units to the dedicated road are reduced from 60' to 25' and end unit setbacks to the rear property lines are reduced from 40' to 25'.

According to OnCor, there are no floodplains or wetlands on the property and the lot is not in OC Agricultural District #1. There is a north-south band of 16 to 30 percent slopes in the middle of the site, similar areas of moderately steep slopes along what appears to be a drainage way crossing the northeast corner of the site, and small areas along the SR 364 frontage and at the rear property line. The existing land cover is successional old farm along SR 364 and successional northern hardwood for the majority of the site.

Comments

1. The road serving buildings 1 to 4 does not provide access to any off street visitor parking.
2. Building 11 is located very close to the steep slope area.
3. Is the planning board authorized to revise setbacks or do proposed reduced front and rear setbacks require variances?

CLCSD Comment Discussions have occurred between the sewer district and the developer's engineer. Preliminary plans are currently under review. Comments will be provided to the engineer.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89- 2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

90 - 2021 Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals Area Variance - Class: AR 1

Area variance for location of two 96 SF sheds within the 60' road setback of a house at 4764 CR 16 near Deuel Road in the Town of Canandaigua.

Applicant: Steele, Sue

Property Owner: Madia-Tompkins, Heather & Chelsey

Tax Map Parcel No: 140.00-1-1.000

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28989/90-2021County-Road-16-4764-2021-04-06-Site-Constraints>

Policy AR-5: Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address residential development that may infringe on County ROW's or easements for roads and other infrastructure.
 - Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
 - Address impacts to ground and surface waters
- C. Applications subject to policy AR-5 and not involving lakefront lots with coverage, or side or lakefront setback variances or with encroachments on County right-of-ways.

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings:

1. One-and two-family residential uses represent 63% of the 49,354 parcels on the 2017 Ontario County assessment roll. Between 2012 and 2017 1,067 single family residential parcels were added and 13 two-family were removed. These parcels represent 89% of all parcels added county-wide.
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of on-site sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.

4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.
7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met

Final Recommendation – With the exception of applications involving lakefront properties or encroachments to County owned right-of-ways described in AR Policy 5 Parts A and B, the CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

Comments

1. The Town is encouraged to grant only the minimum variance necessary to allow reasonable use of the lot.

91 - 2021 Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals Area Variance - Class: AR 1

Area variance for 3,400 SF residential addition, porches and pickleball court to increase building coverage from 7.3 percent to 20.6 percent when 15 percent is allowed while reducing lot coverage slightly at 4495 Davidson Landing in the Town of Canandaigua.

Applicant: Bushen, Lucas

Property Owner: Gill, Daniel

Tax Map Parcel No: 126.2--1-8.000

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28990/91-2021-site-plan-only-Davidson-Landing-4495-2021-04-07>

Policy AR-5: Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address residential development that may infringe on County ROW's or easements for roads and other infrastructure.
- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

C. Applications subject to policy AR-5 and not involving lakefront lots with coverage, or side or lakefront setback variances or with encroachments on County right-of-ways.

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings:

1. One-and two-family residential uses represent 63% of the 49,354 parcels on the 2017 Ontario County assessment roll. Between 2012 and 2017 1,067 single family residential parcels were added and 13 two-family were removed. These parcels represent 89% of all parcels added county-wide.
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of on-site sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.
4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.
7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met

Final Recommendation – With the exception of applications involving lakefront properties or encroachments to County owned right-of-ways described in AR Policy 5 Parts A and B, the CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

Comments

1. The Town is encouraged to grant only the minimum variance necessary to allow reasonable use of the lot.
2. The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve Canandaigua Lake Watershed Manager as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implementation of storm water and erosion control measures.

CLCSD Comment Sewer connection plans are in our office for review. Permit to be required. Sewer connection plans are under review. Plans are unclear whether a new/additional connection will be made to the private sanitary system owned by the Davidson Landing Association and/or whether a new connection is allowed under the Association's agreement with the District. District will forward information to the County Attorney for interpretation regarding whether a direct connection or a connection to the private Davidson Landing Association is appropriate. A lateral renovation permit may be required.

92 - 2021 Town of Farmington Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 1

Site plan for refurbishing existing car wash building and site at 6124 SR 96, northwest corner with Mertensia Road in the Town of Farmington.

Applicant & owner: Marchenese, Robert

Representative: Bragg, Matt

Tax Map Parcel No: 17.00-1-63.132

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28992/92-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28991/92-21-Site-Plan>

A new owner is investing in the 1.55 acre property. Investments include Installing 5' sidewalk along SR 96 and adding rear screening vegetation, SR 96 street trees, and street lights and frontage planting beds along Mertensia Road and SR 96 in accordance with Route 96 Streetscape Guidelines. The owner is also changing the roof from flat to pitched, adding pay stations, installing concrete at wash tunnel entrance and exit, repainting building exterior and refurbishing asphalt, vacuum equipment, and installing new and refurbished site lighting.

Comments

1. Is signage necessary to safely manage traffic flow to and from vacuum stations and avoid conflicts between vehicles exiting wash tunnel and those using vacuums?
2. Is the existing access point aligned or appropriately off-set from other driveways on Mertensia Road to maintain safe turning movements?

OCSWCD Comments

1. Location of concrete truck washout not specified despite concrete being called out as a material to be installed
2. If material from landscape area is to remain on site for reuse, specify areas where material will be stockpiled.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89-2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

93 - 2021 Town of Richmond Town Board Local Law - Class: 2

Local law to extend existing 3 month moratorium on applications for large-scale solar energy installations for an additional 3 months in the Town of Richmond.

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28993/93-2021-LL--Solar-Moratorium-Extend--04-13-21>

Board Motion: To retain referral 93-2021 as a class 2 and return it to the local board with a recommendation of approval. **Motion made by:** Carol O'Brien **Seconded by:** Bill Namestnik

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

94 - 2021 Town of Farmington Planning Board Subdivision - Class: 1

Subdivision of 32 acre site for industrial park with 10 lots of 2 to 5 acres and a dedicated internal road off Loomis Road near SR 332 in the Town of Farmington.

Applicant: Loomis Road Industrial Park LLC

Property Owner: Hollingsworth, James E & Kathleen

Tax Map Parcel No: 17.00-1-62.132

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28994/94-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28995/94-21-Subdivision>

The subdivision plan shows the 10 lots arranged along a looped public road. The subdivision plan also shows a presumed 50' gas line easement along the northern property boundary adjacent to the NYS Thruway lands and the existing trees cover to approximately 80' from the western property boundary and on lots 2, 3, and 4.

The EAF anticipate removal of approximately 10 acres of forest and 1 acre of meadow to make way for construction of ten 15,000 SF buildings and a total of 13 acres of impervious coverage. The EAF also indicates 75 percent of site soils as less well drained, half of site has slopes of 15% or greater, and the site is over a principal aquifer. According to OnCor, areas of 16 to 30 percent slope are concentrated along the western property line.

Comments

1. What is the proposed use and ownership of the 2 acre lot in the interior of the looped road?
2. The referring body should establish what existing site vegetation should remain undisturbed during eventual site development to screen existing homes adjacent to lots 1 and 2 and minimize impact of disturbing steep slopes along the western property line.
3. The referring body may want a note on the subdivision plan regarding allowable signage on the rear side of buildings facing the Thruway.

CPB Comment Loomis Road appears narrower than proposed public road. Is Loomis Road base and geometry adequate to accommodate truck traffic?

Board Motion: To retain referral 94-2021 as a class 1 and return it to the local board with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Mike Woodruff

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

95 - 2021 Village of Naples Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 1

Site plan for redevelopment of building at 2 Mark Circle in the Village of Naples for a laundromat and a 2 bedroom apartment.

Applicant & Owner: John Bagley-Jay Ladue LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 204.05-1-0.100

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28996/95-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28997/95-21-Survey-Map>

The existing building was most recently an antique shop. The proposed laundromat will be in the front portion of the building and the 2 story apartment will be to the rear. The site plan indicates 6 asphalt parking spaces in front of the building and 2 paved spaces to the rear. The building is located immediately south of Grimes Creek.

Comments

1. The referring body should consider what frontage landscaping is needed to screen the proposed parking.

OCSWCD Comments

1. Consider permeable surfaces for the parking area if possible. If not possible consider vegetated buffer between parking area and stream to protect stream resources.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89- 2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

96 - 2021 Town of Victor Planning Board Special Use Permit - Class: 1

Special use permit for removal/replacement of antennas, remote radio heads, tower mounted amplifiers, DC SQUID sensors, DC and fiber trunks, and less than 4 boxes of new ground equipment on 135' monopole at 795 Old Dutch Road in the Town of Victor.

Applicant: Crown Castle

Property Owner: Global Signal Acquisitions LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 14.02-1-6.200

https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28998/96-2021_2021-05-06-14-14-06

There are no changes proposed in the height or width of mounted equipment. The site plan shows an abandoned building and other abandoned facilities at the base of the monopole. The applicant has provided a structural analysis to document the structural capability of the pole to support proposed equipment.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89-2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

97 - 2021 Town of Geneva Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 2

Site plan for 7,000 SF storage building at 237 Lyons Road/SR 14 in the Town of Geneva.

Applicant & Owner: Wine Valley Holdings LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 90.16-1-26.120

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/28999/97-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29000/97-2021-05-06-14-19-34>

Lot located west of SR 14 and north of DeLong storage building. New building will be placed partially in area of existing paved parking and behind the existing storage building. The height of the proposed building is 28' while the height of the existing building is 16'. Drainage to be tied into existing system. The site appears to have a side-by-side access with the property to the north and a second driveway at the southern property line. The existing paved parking area appears to extend onto the residential property to the south.

According to OnCor, the site is not constrained by wetlands, floodplains, or steep slopes.

Comments

1. The referring body should evaluate the opportunity to implement access management by formalizing a cross access easement with property owner to the north and possibly eliminating the southern access point.
2. The referring body should consider requiring frontage landscaping and the desired urban or rural character and related spacing and massing of plant materials.

Board Motion: To retain referral 97-2021 as a class 2 and return it to the local board with comments and a recommendation of approval. **Motion made by:** AJ Magnan **Seconded by:** Steve High

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

98 - 2021 Town of East Bloomfield Planning Board Site Plan Class 1 &

98.1-2021 Special Use Permit - Class: 1

Site plan and special use permit for flea market at 6915 SR 5/US 20 in the Town of East Bloomfield. Flea market access to be off Gauss Road.

Applicant & Owner: Sulli, Anthony

Property Owner: Spurling, Randy

Tax Map Parcel No: 135—72

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29001/98-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29002/98-2021-site-plan>

In March, CPB reviewed site plan and special use permit application for indoor and outdoor storage on this 4.5 acre property (referral #41-2021). This referral is to use 1 of the buildings and additional outdoor display area on the rear (Gauss Road) portion of the site for a flea market with portable restroom. An existing gate between the front and rear portions of the site would be closed to provide flea market access from Gauss Road only. The use is expected to generate 25 to 100 shoppers per day.

Comments

1. Special Use standards require 5 parking spaces for each 1,000 SF of permanent structure and 3 parking spaces for each 150 SF of outdoor display area. Is additional parking needed? Regardless of code requirements, proposed 50 parking spaces are only sufficient for vendor vehicles, additional parking would be needed for customers.
2. Application materials do not specify surface, drainage, or marking of off-street parking areas.
3. What is the size of the building intended to house indoor display area? What area of the building will be devoted to indoor vendor displays? What is the size of the outdoor display area? The Special Use standards allow a flea market in a structure not exceeding 2,000 SF of floor area with not more than 75 percent of the floor area devoted to vendor displays. Special use standards also limit outdoor display areas to twice the size of the permanent structure and require outdoor display areas to be located behind the permanent structure and away from on-site parking and access.
4. The application materials do not specify any electrical service or site lighting in the outdoor display area.
5. The application materials do not specify proposed signage or refuse disposal.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89- 2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

99 - 2021 Village of Bloomfield Planning Board Site Plan and 99.1-2021 Special Use Permit - Class: 1

Site plan and special use permit for outdoor sale of hay and plants at 1 Elm Street (Quickless gas station) in the Village of Bloomfield.

Applicant: Duvall, Ryan & Kim

Property Owner: 3 Main Street LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 67.16-1-16.100

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29003/99-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29004/99-2021-site-plan-aerial>

Quickless Gas Station is located on a 1.8 acre parcel at the northwest corner of East Main Street and Elm Street in the Village of Bloomfield. The proposed use is located on an adjacent 3.3 acre former Agway property under common ownership. The materials submitted indicate the applicant is seeking approval of existing use of the site to store hay and haying equipment. Most of the hay will be stored in the existing on-site barn. Larger round bales and additional equipment will be stored outside. The outdoor hay will be stored under a tarp behind an existing fence. The applicant proposes to plant with wildflowers on the berm and to park all haying equipment at the rear of the property behind the berm and screened from view from the ROW. Adjacent land uses across Elm Street include a restaurant and other commercial uses.

The village code indicates a maximum of 20 percent of the site can be devoted to outdoor activities. The proposed storage area is identified as .5 acres.

Comments

1. The referring body should identify the dimensions of the allowed storage area including distance storage activities must be set back from the pavement or road ROW, from the barn, and other property boundaries.

Board Motion: To retain referrals 88-2021, 89- 2021, 92-2021, 95-2021, 96-2021, 98-2021, 98.1-2021, 99-2021, 99.1-2021, and 103-2021 as class 1s and return them to the local boards with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

100 - 2021 City of Geneva Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 2

Site plan for 1,690 SF Dunkin Donuts with drive-thru and 1,400 SF retail space at corner of N. Exchange Street and East North Street in the City of Geneva.

Applicant: Thrasher, James

Property Owner: City of Geneva IDA

Tax Map Parcel No: 90.83-2-35.1

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29005/100-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29006/100-2021-site-plan-3---67182-Planset-4-28-2021>

The .89 acre development site proposes access off North Exchange Street/ SR 14 at the approach to the southbound left turn lane and off East North Street closer to North Wadsworth Street. The entrance to the drive-thru is near the East North Street driveway. The building is setback 60' from the main roadways and 81' from North Wadsworth Street. The site plan shows 26 parking spaces, single lane drive-thru with double lane stacking area, and a 6' fence along the northern property line bordering single family residential uses. The driveway and dumpster are setback 8'-10' from the adjacent single family parcel on North Wadsworth Street.

There is a proposed ground mounted sign at the corner of North Exchange Street and East North Street. No sign details are provided for ground or building mounted signage.

Comments

1. No lighting plan is provided. The referring body should seek to minimize the project's impact on neighboring single family residential areas and maximize the site's contribution to improving the pedestrian character of the intersection. The referring body should review pole heights, lamp intensity and potential spill of lighting and require dark sky fixtures. street scape and frontage landscaping.
2. No landscaping plan is provided. The referring body should seek to minimize the project's impact on neighboring single family residential areas and maximize the site's contribution to improving the pedestrian character of the intersection. As a minimum, zoning requires a table of planting materials and landscaping on 8 percent of the net lot area for parking adjacent to residential uses.
3. The proposed parking includes 26 spaces for Dunkin Donuts and 5 spaces for an undetermined retail use. How would parking for a second food service tenant be accommodated?
4. Previous review in November 2020 (#199) indicates the possibility that the entire site is not zoned B-1. If that is the case, how does that impact allowable site development?
5. How will the dumpster be screened from North Wadsworth Street?
6. Will the applicant provide bicycle parking or outdoor seating area?

OCSWCD Comments

1. Concrete truck washout should be minimum 100 feet from storm drain inlets. See page 2.24 of the NYS ESC Blue Book for details.
2. Locations of stockpiles have not been specified on plans.
3. Will measures be taken to prevent traffic from using the other entrance during construction?
4. Are any pre-treatment measures possible on site to manage stormwater before entering the stormwater system?
5. Will all vegetation be removed from northern boundary? If so, consider replacing trees.

CPB Comments

1. Is signage provided to direct to on-site and mobile order drive-thru lanes and manage safe merging for joint pick up window?
2. Has a traffic impact analysis been provided? Will stacking traffic at N. Exchange/East North Street intersection block site exiting vehicles?
3. Given available on-site parking, the referring body should consider conditioning any approval on securing a second tenant that is not a food service use.
4. The referring body should consider whether existing and increased pedestrian traffic at this intersection requires repainting crosswalks and added pedestrian signals to maintain safety in the face of increased vehicle traffic.

Board Motion: To retain referral 100-2021 as a class 2 and return it to the local board with comments and a recommendation of approval. **Motion made by:** Paul Passavant **Seconded by:** Glen Wilkes
Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

101 - 2021 City of Geneva City Council Map Amendment -Class 2 & 101.1 Text Amendment- Withdrawn

Zoning map and text amendment to change zoning of American Legion Winnek Post 396 at 1115 Lochland Road/SR 14 in the City of Geneva from AR to Lakefront PUD to accommodate 57 for-sale townhouses, a 125 room hotel, and a 10,000 SF restaurant. Text amendment to permit hotel use in the proposed PUD.

Applicant: WJCA

Property Owner: American Legion Winnek Post 396

Tax Map Parcel No: 119.16-1-11

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29007/101-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29008/101-2021-1250-21-Concept-Plan>

The 13 acre site is currently zoned AR which allows detached single family dwellings on 20,000 SF lots, agriculture, places of worship, cultural facilities and hospitals or other institutional or philanthropic uses. The site is located along Seneca Lake as the south end of the City of Geneva between Lochland, a residential and day program for adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and Belhurst Castle and Winery located in the Town of Geneva. Both sites retain substantial undeveloped green space.

The City of Geneva is in the midst of a comprehensive zoning update. Draft zoning map and text materials indicate this 13 acre site, as well land in the existing Lakefront District at the north end of the lake, will be included in the proposed Mixed Use- Hospitality (MU-H) district. The intent of the proposed MU-H district is to provide a variety of hotel, restaurant, and entertainment uses to support the local tourism industry. The district envisions development that respects Seneca Lake view sheds, uses sustainable development practices, and incorporates road setbacks, orientation, and scale that allow development to be built into the landscape.

The June 16, 2020 draft zoning district regulations for the MU-H district list multi-family dwelling, hotel, and restaurant uses as permitted uses. Setbacks, lot coverage, and open space requirements are indicated as determined during site plan review. The proposed maximum building height in the proposed MU-H district is 40' or three stories.

For development of this site to proceed under existing zoning, the applicant has requested rezoning to Lakefront District (LD) and review under the Lakefront District Planned Unit Development (LD PUD) Regulations. Hotel and restaurant uses are included in the definition of retail sales and service and allowed a part of a commercial LD PUD.

The City of Geneva planned unit development regulations are only applicable within the LD with a minimum LD PUD lot size of 5 acres. The intent and objectives of the LD PUD regulations are to guide the development of residential or commercial LD PUDs that among other things increase the tax base and provide a variety of residential settings, usable open space and recreation areas, and a mix of uses that enhance job creation and retention.

The procedure for review of a LD PUD involves planning board review of a conceptual sketch plan and compliance with general LD PUD requirements before directing the preparation of the project plan narrative and graphic documentation. Once the planning board is satisfied that the project plan meets the LD PUD requirements, City Council may review and act on the re-zoning to LD PUD. Then the planning board is responsible for preliminary and final site plan review.

The proposed project includes 57 single family attached residential units on 7.8 acres for a residential density of just over 7.3 units per acre when 8 units per acre is allowed. The proposed five story hotel on 3 acres with a building height of 60' is less than the maximum height of 72' or 6 stories allowed in the existing LD at the north end of the Lake. The applicant indicates 130 to 150 parking spaces will be provided for the hotel and 80 to 90 parking spaces will be provided for the 10,000 SF restaurant/brewery. LD parking requirements are 1.5 spaces per single family attached dwelling, 1 space per hotel room, 13 to 16 spaces per 1,000 Sf of net restaurant floor area, and 1 space per 200 net SF in the community center. The residential portion of the site includes single car

garages and minimum 20' driveways and 28 additional off-street parking spaces. The LD PUD regulations require a 3 to 4' high, 10' wide buffer along the road right-of-way and 6' to 8' fence or vegetative screen between residential and non-residential uses. The concept plan appears to show required ROW buffering. The concept plan shows one pedestrian crossing of the active rail line separating the residential portion of the site from the lakefront.

Comments

1. Does City Council need to re-zone the property to LD District, before the planning board and city council can review suitability of rezoning in accordance with a LD PUD plan and accompanying materials? Does the planning board need to review the concept plan before submission of a more detailed LD PUD rezoning application?
2. The Lakefront District PUD regulations do not envision mixed use PUDs. In conjunction with the zoning map amendment, City Council should amend the text of the Lakefront District intent statement to envision Mixed Use PUDs and identify how to calculate area limitations of a mixed use project when residential uses can only occupy 30 percent of a residential LD PUD excluding open space and parking, while commercial LD PUD allows 70 percent for commercial uses including associated parking and other accessory uses.
3. The applicant must document that 20% of the lot area (2.6 acres) is designated for developed and unimproved common or public open space and recreation uses at the time of initial planning board LD PUD concept review.
4. The LD PUD regulations indicate no more than 65 percent of total residential units may be any single type.
5. The concept plan does not appear to provide a visual screen between residential and non-residential uses.
6. Section 350-10 C Indicates the concept plan review is required to include review of phasing and identification of easements, project demand, water and sewer connection points and storm drainage system.
7. The submitted materials do not provide information on changes in the view shed.
8. There is an ADA route labeled from the upper terrace to the lower terrace. What other portions of the pedestrian circulation system are ADA accessible? If the route from public parking to the American Legion Memorial is not accessible, how will visitors access the area?
9. Who will own the American Legion Memorial? If HOA, how will permanent public access be provided?
10. Are boat docks intended for seasonal docking of residential boats or transient docking of hotel/restaurant patrons? What is docking capacity of two 100' docks? What is intended use of the 20'x40' boat house?
11. It appears that most of the townhouse driveways are 20' in length and accommodate 1 vehicle. There appear to be at most 28 additional parking spaces in the residential section of the site. While this meets the requirements of the PUD regulations for off-street parking for attached single family homes, it does not provide additional parking (one space per 200 SF net floor area) for the community center. Will street parking be allowed? The parking standards do not provide visitor parking.
12. What lakefront amenities will be available to residents?

OCSWCD Comments

1. Consider possible management solutions for stormwater on impervious surfaces which run up and down slope such as the center sidewalk and driveways.
2. Plans do not show which areas will be served by which stormwater facilities.
3. Opportunity exists to utilize sustainable shoreline practices to create a buffer between lake and proposed development. Consider appropriate vegetation within rear setback. The Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District is a resource for this type of project.
4. Impervious surface proposed is significantly more than the existing use. Consider incorporating more green infrastructure practices where possible.

CPB Comments

1. Is the proposed restaurant parking of 4 spaces/1,000 SF sufficient? The City of Rochester zoning code requires 6 to 10 parking spaces/1,000 SF depending on the seat turnover frequency.
2. Would the for-sale townhomes and commercial uses be more desirable with more green scape and less impervious area?
3. Is the Carriage House historically significant?
4. Is proposed lighting dark sky compliant?
5. What stormwater management facilities are proposed?

6. Traffic impact study should address roadway capacity to accommodate additional vehicle volumes and safely transition vehicles from 55 to 30 mph speed limit.
7. How will project contribute to improved pedestrian and bicycle character and safety along SR 14?
8. The ADA pathway shown on the concept plan is likely not feasible given site slope.
9. Will Norfolk Southern allow passage across railroad? Documentation of cross access easement should be provided.
10. Town of Geneva/Ontario County jurisdiction may only extend 25' into Seneca Lake. What additional approvals are required for proposed 100' docks?
11. Is a secondary access point needed to minimize impacts to roadway capacity/turning movement delays or for emergency access?
12. In response to staff and CPB comments, the applicant representatives provided the following additional information:
 - a. If necessary, the project could be developed as separate commercial and residential PUDs each with 30 percent open space.
 - b. The existing concept plan could accommodate a secondary gated emergency access point at the northwest corner of the development site.
 - c. The restaurant is intended to include a brewery, reducing seat generated parking need.
 - d. Applicant will consult with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) regarding presence of any historic resources.
 - e. Applicant recognizes need to minimize impacts to stormwater quality and quantity. Bio retention trenches are proposed in the commercial area to minimize water quality and quantity impacts; stormwater piping is proposed in the steep slope area near the lakeshore to reduce erosion potential in the bluff and railroad areas.
 - f. The Legion property, has an existing lake access easement across the railroad, and will incorporate a crossing similar to the crossing on the adjacent Belhurst Castle property.
 - g. The 100' docks will be transient restaurant customers and no seasonal or overnight parking will be allowed.
 - h. The boat houses will be for storage of hand-powered boats.

Board Motion: To retain referral 101-2021 as a class 2 and return it to the local board with comments and a recommendation of approval. **Motion made by:** David Wink **Seconded by:** Paul Passavant
Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

102 - 2021 City of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals Area Variance - Class: 1
102.1-2021 Planning Commission Site Plan- Class 1

Variance and site plan to construct 4,200 SF convenience store, 6 fuel dispensers with canopy and associated parking, utilities, landscaping, and stormwater management on vacant parcel at northwest corner of Lake Shore Drive and East Lake Road/SR 364 in the City of Canandaigua. Variance for location within 1,000' of similar use.

Applicant: Brunelle, Christian

Property Owner: Terry, Thomas J.

Representative: Plumley Engineering

Tax Map Parcel No: 98.26-1-97

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29009/102-2021-Aerial>

<https://www.co.ontario.ny.us/DocumentCenter/View/29010/102-2021-4-28-21-site-plan-Canandaigua-Lakeshore-ZBA-Submittal>

The site is 1.11 acres with 200' of frontage along Lakeshore Drive and 242' of frontage along East Lake Road. The proposed use will operate 24 hours per day year round. The site plan proposes one access connection on each frontage. Other site features includes dark sky compliant lighting including 17' pole lights and wall lights, and a screened dumpster enclosure constructed of chain link fence and white plastic. There will be a wood fence along the north and east property perimeter adjacent to residential uses. The proposed building will have porches attached to the south and east sides of the building. Application materials also indicate two internally lit building signs and a monument sign.

Comments

1. The referring body should require extension of the sidewalk and appropriate streetscape landscaping north on SR 364 to the property line.
2. Pole lights of 14' would improve pedestrian character of the site.
3. What is height of fuel canopy?
4. Will the proposed building have a peaked roof as shown in information packet photos of similar site?
5. Will trees and brush be retained in the 10' side and rear setback areas?

OCSWDC Comments

1. No erosion and sediment control details are provided.
2. No design details for the proposed stormwater management facility are provided.

Board Motion: To retain referral 102-2021 and 102.1-2021 as class 1 sand return them to the local board with comments

Motion made by: David Wink **Seconded by:** Sue Boardman

Vote: 15 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions **Motion carried.**

103 - 2021 Town of Geneva Planning Board Site Plan - Class: 1

Site plan to add 784 SF pole barn on the northeast portion of the 10 acre auto repair use at 2787 Lyons Road/SR
14 in the Town of Geneva

Applicant: Fitzgerald, James

Property Owner: RDJ Holdings LLC

Tax Map Parcel No: 91.00-1-25.100

The proposed pole barn will be located in the northeast corner at the rear of this property currently used for auto repair and sales.

General Information

The Ontario County Planning Board (CPB) was established by the Ontario County Board of Supervisors under the provision of NYS General Municipal Law Article 12-B Section 239-c. County Planning Boards. The state legislature determined in §239-c. 1. (a), (b), (g) & (f):

1. Legislative findings and intent. The legislature hereby finds and determines that:

(a) Significant decisions and actions affecting the immediate and long-range protection, enhancement, growth and development of the state and its communities are made by county planning boards.

(b) County planning boards serve as an important resource to the state and its localities, helping to establish productive linkages between communities as well as with state and federal agencies.

(f) The great diversity of resources and conditions that exist within and among counties requires consideration of such factors by county planning boards.

(g) It is the intent of the legislature therefore, to provide a permissive and flexible framework within which county planning boards can perform their power and duties.

Note: l, (d), and (e) refer to the county comprehensive plan.

The CPB membership consists of one representative from each of the 16 towns and 2 cities who are selected by the town board or city council and formally appointed by the Board of Supervisors for terms of 5 years. Members representing a town, also represent any village(s) located with the town.

General Summary of CPB Review Responsibilities

This section provides a general summary of the CPB's roles and responsibilities. The specific responsibilities of a county planning board are found in §239 l, m, & n and the CPB Bylaws approved by the Ontario County Board of Supervisors. (Links: Complete §239 text Page151: [Guide to NYS Planning and Zoning Laws](#) and [Ontario County Planning Board Bylaws under "Quick Links"](#))

The Ontario County Planning Board reviews certain zoning and planning actions prior to the final decision made at the village, town, or city level and makes a recommendation to the municipality. Although CPB review is required, the action is advisory in nature and can be overridden at the local level (super majority if a recommendation for denial or approval without recommended modification.

NYS law spells out the types of actions reviewed by the CPB:

- Adoption or amendment of zoning regulations (text and/or map)
- Comprehensive plans
- Site plan approvals
- Special use permits
- Variances
- Any special permit, exception, or other special authorization which a board of appeals, planning board or legislative body is authorized to issue under the provisions of any zoning ordinance
- Subdivisions

NYS law specifies that CPB is required for the above actions to occur on real property lying within a distance of 500 feet from any:

- Boundary of any city, village, or town boundary
- Existing or proposed county or state park or other recreation area,
- Right-of-way of any existing or proposed county or state parkway, thruway, expressway, road or highway, existing or proposed right-of-way,
- Stream or drainage channel owned by the county or for which the county has established channel lines, or
- Existing or proposed boundary of any county or state owned land on which a public building or institution is situated.

General Procedures

The Ontario County Planning Board meets once each month to review referred local actions for intermunicipal and countywide impacts. They are separated into two categories: Class 1 & Class 2. Class 1s are applications that the CPB has formally decided have little potential intermunicipal or countywide impact. For Class 2 applications, the CPB has determined that there will be potential impacts before voting to approve, modify or deny.

Legal Obligations for Referring Agencies

Class 1: If an application has been returned to the referring agency as a Class 1, then the only requirement is that they consider any Board comments forwarded to them by the CPB. Referring agencies are asked to read any Board Comments into the minutes of a meeting or hearing held for the subject application.

Class 2: If the CPB has voted to deny or modify a referred application, then the local board needs a majority plus one vote of their full board to act contrary to that decision. CPB approvals without modification require no extraordinary local action. However, in all cases, the referring agency is still required to consider CPB comments as they would for Class 1 applications.

Incomplete Applications

Referrals need to meet the definition of “full statement of such proposed action” in NYS General Municipal Law. The CPB’s determination regarding the completeness of a particular application is supported by factual findings and is made, whenever practical, after consulting with the submitting official or the chairs of referring agencies. The CPB will not make a recommendation on an application that they have determined to be incomplete. NYS General Municipal Law, Article 12-b Section 239-m 1

Reporting back to the CPB

Report of final action – Within thirty days after final action, the referring body shall file a report of the final action it has taken with the county planning agency or regional planning council. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or denial of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.”

NYS General Municipal Law, Article 12-b Section 239-m, Part 6.

Administrative Reviews

The Ontario County Planning Department prepares administrative reviews of referrals as authorized, in accordance with the CPB bylaws. The bylaws include criteria that identify applications that are to be reviewed administratively and specify the applicable recommendations that are to be made to the municipality. AR 1 is an administrative review that is a Class 1 and AR 2 is a review that is a Class 2. An AR 2 requires a majority plus one for the local board to act contrary to the recommendation for disapproved just like Class-2 referrals reviewed by the full Board. The following table summarizes the policies under which administrative review is allowed and guidance regarding class designation and recommendation based on the CPB bylaws.

Administrative Review (AR) Policies:– Ontario County Planning Board By-Laws Appendix D	
AR Policy 1	Any submitted application clearly exempted from CPB review requirements by intermunicipal agreement
AR Policy 2	Applications that are withdrawn by the referring agency
AR Policy 3	Permit renewals with no proposed changes
AR Policy 4	Use of existing facilities for a permitted use with no expansion of the building or paved area (Applications that include specially permitted uses or the addition of drive through service will require full Board review)
AR Policy 5 A. Class 2 Denial	Applications involving one single-family residential site infringing on County owned property, easement or right-of-way.
AR Policy 5 B. Class 2 Denial	Applications involving one single-family residential site adjoining a lake that requires an area variance
AR Policy 5 C.	All other applications involving a site plan for one single-family residence.
AR Policy 6	Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots.
AR Policy 7 A. Class 2 Denial	Variations for signs along major designated travel corridors.
AR Policy 7 B.	Applications involving conforming signs along major travel corridors.
AR Policy 8	Co-location of telecommunications equipment & accessory structures on existing towers and sites (Applications that require a special use permit or for new towers or increasing the height of an existing tower require full Board review)

○ Clearing House Reviews – None

○ Upcoming Training –

Tues. May 18 from 6 – 8 pm, NYSDOS/Livingston County, Hot Button Land Uses Cannabis, Solar, Short-term Rental Housing, Prohibiting Uses, Spot Zoning, Community Opposition, Barns as Event Venues, Mining, Small Cell Wireless (5G) <https://meetny.webex.com/meetny/k2/j.php?MTID=t4b872e96e584ed58df3b278912f9f37b>

Genesee Finger Lakes Regional Planning Council Zoom training on Tues and Thurs. Trainings are free but registration is required. <http://www.gflrpc.org/local-government-workshops.html>

Tues. May 18 11:30-1 Hot Topics in Planning: from **A**[ccessory Dwellings]to **Z**[oom Towns](Barton & Loguidice, Molly Gaudioso & G/FLRPC, Jason Haremza)

Tues. June 8, 21 at 11:00 am NYISAW Training- How to identify and report the top invasive species in the region by *Finger Lakes Institute at Hobart and William Smith Colleges*

<https://hws.zoom.us/meeting/register/tJdcumsrjsrHNC96Jeyvu2W8lv1jwuUeQcV>

The New York Planning Federation trainings are free if your municipality is a member.

Call or e-mail with membership number or to pay and participate as a non-member. 518-512-5270 or email nypf@nypf.org

The NY Conference of Mayors also offers virtual and recorded webinars for member villages and cities <https://www.nycom.org/training/webinars>

Planning related webinars from the Center for Creative Land Recycling **webinars are \$20 each** See **link for webinar descriptions.** https://www.eventbrite.com/e/creative-placemaking-leadership-webinars-registration-116926818261?aff=odeimcmailchimp&mc_cid=d80e8509ee&mc_eid=cb876cdee3

○ **July 13, 2-3 pm eastern:** History of Creative Placemaking in the United States Part 1

○ **July 27. 2-3 pm eastern:** History of Creative Placemaking in the United States Part 2

-
-
-
- Other info to share – CPB sends best regards to Steve Groet as he recovers from surgery and to Carol O'Brien upon her resignation.
- Privilege of the Floor-none

Adjournment: Being no further business for discussion, Chair Wildman requested a motion to adjourn 5/12/21 County Planning Board meeting. **Motion to adjourn made by David Wink, seconded by Glen Wilkes. Motion carried.** May 12, 2021 CPB meeting adjourned at 9:22 PM.