

ONTARIO COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

Referrals for Review at the: **Coordinated Review Committee Meeting –Tuesday July 12, 2022, at 3:30pm –**
County Planning Board Meeting –July 13, 2022 at 7:00pm [Hybrid Meeting Click Join Meeting hyperlink below](#)
 Telephone: 585-396-4455

	Municipality	Applicant	Application Type	Class	Page
116	Town of Farmington	Town of Farmington	Map Amendment	2	2
117	Town of Geneva	Town Board	Text Amendment	Exempt	5
118	Town of Victor	Benderson Development Co LLC	Site Plan	1	
119	Town of Victor	Commercial Street Partners	Site Plan	1	6
120	Town of Victor	Timber Tree Top LLC	Site Plan	1	7
121	Town of Gorham	Merit & Jean Wilkinson	Area Variance	AR 2	
122	Village of Naples	David & Shanna Williams	Site Plan	1	8
123	Town of West Bloomfield	James & Robie Schlenker	Area Variance	1	9
124	Town of Victor	Elizabeth Vandenberg	Subdivision	AR 1	
125	Town of Richmond	Robert & Susan Shapiro	Lot Line Adjustment	AR 1	10
126	Town of Richmond	Robert & Susan Shapiro	Site Plan	1	11
127	Town of Victor	Cliff Anderson	Use Variance	2	
128	Town of Canandaigua	Scott Hill	Site Plan	Exempt	
129	Town of Canandaigua	Scott Hill	Area Variance	AR 1	12
130	Town of Canandaigua	Marks Engineering	Site Plan	1	13
131	Town of Farmington	John Hotto Land Tech	Area Variance	1	
132	Town of Farmington	John Hotto Land Tech	Area Variance	1	14
133	Town of Victor	BRW of Greece LLC	Subdivision	1	
134	Town of Richmond	Town Board	Moratorium	2	15
135	Town of Geneva	John Balduzzi & Jessica Evangelista	Area Variance	AR 2	
136	Town of Geneva	Gary Phillip	Area Variance	1	16
137	Town of Hopewell	Allen Weaver	Site Plan	1	17
138	Town of Bristol	Priscilla Muller	Subdivision	Technical Review	
139	Town of Bristol	John & Sharon Lee Karle	Subdivision	1	
141	Town of Geneva	Elliot Garcia	Area Variance	AR 2 Late Referral	18
142	Town of Richmond	Flavia & William Frost	Area Variance	1 Late Referral	

There is no referral 140-2022

Wednesday, July 13, 2022

7:00 PM | (UTC-04:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada) | 2 hrs 30 mins

[Start meeting](#)

More ways to join:

Join from the meeting link

<https://ontariocountyny.webex.com/ontariocountyny/j.php?MTID=me7a850c19a493e774cd915151f8f06d4>

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2344 787 3340

Meeting password: 6SA7mpmxF3

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

[+1-408-418-9388,,23447873340##](tel:+1-408-418-9388,,23447873340##) United States Toll

Join by phone

+1-408-418-9388 United States Toll

[Global call-in numbers](#)

Join from a video system or application

Dial [23447873340@ontariocountyny.webex.com](tel:23447873340@ontariocountyny.webex.com)

You can also dial 173.243.2.68 and enter your meeting number.

If you are a host, [click here](#) to view host information.

Need help? Go to <https://help.webex.com>

116 - 2022

Town of Farmington Town Board

Class:2

Type: *Map Amendment*

Related Referrals: 62-2022, 57-2019

Applicant: *Town of Farmington*

Property Owner: *Angelo Ingrassia*

Representative: *Mike Montalto, Costich Engineers*

Tax Map Parcel #: 29.00-1-39.000 29.00-1-40 29.00-1-41.1

Brief Description: *Map Amendment to rezone 3 parcels with 18 acres from GB General Business to IZ Incentive Zoning to accommodate additional development at Tops Plaza/Farmington Market Center at 6179 SR 96 in the Town of Farmington.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/34066/62-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/34067/62-2022-concept-plan>

These 3 properties were previously referred as an Incentive Zoning map amendment as 57-2019 in March 2019, and 62-2022 in April 2022. In 2019, the SR 96 endpoint of the SR 96/SR 332 through road via Mercier Boulevard was proposed at the eastern end of the subject property. At this time, the SR 96 endpoint of the through road is anticipated as part of development of the adjacent property to the east. Instead of a 2-story office building, the concept plan now proposes a 21,000 addition to the retail plaza with a drive up window, and 4 other uses along the SR 96 frontage for a total of 37,640 SF of new retail and services uses. The Town Board sent this IZ proposal to the planning board and received a favorable response. This application has been rereferred at the request of the applicant now that the project has been classified as Type I under SEQR and a long form EAF is required. The EAF indicates a total of 5.7 additional acres of impervious area for a total of 10.0 acres, 87 new parking spaces, a stormwater pond of 1.5 acres, and reduction of lawn area from 9 acres to 4 acres.

In spring of 2021, the Town of Farmington adopted a Main Street Overlay District (MSOD) to guide landscaping, foundation plantings, building elevations, driveway spacing, pedestrian facilities, and stormwater management to encourage development of mixed-use multi-story buildings, a pedestrian friendly streetscape, bike lanes, and access to public transit in the designated Community Center area of SR 96.

The three properties are currently zoned General Business and developed with a Tops grocery store and extensive parking. There is no stormwater management pond connected to the existing stormwater system. In the General Business district, office, service and retail uses excluding fast-food and drive-in restaurants are permitted uses. Shopping plazas, fast-food restaurants and those with drive-in, and motor vehicle gasoline stations, sales and service are allowed in the General Business District with a special use permit. The proposed development/redevelopment activities will disturb 15.7 acres of the 18.3-acre site. The proposed building coverage is 11 percent, less than the 34 percent allowed by code. The project area, and other lands to the south and west of SR 96/SR 332 and north of Mercier Boulevard and west of Mertensia Road are within the Community Center/Mixed use category on the 2011 Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map. The project area is also in the Major Thoroughfare Overlay District.

The current incentive zoning concept plan proposes 30' front setbacks from the access road when 50' is required and 60' from SR 96 when 100' is required, though required SR 96 setback may have changed. The plan proposes 417 parking spaces when 396 would be required, including 35 land banked parking spaces. The concept plan proposes fewer parking spaces than code requires for the supermarket and more spaces than required for the retail expansion and bank use. The concept plan does not indicate provided setbacks to the leased boundaries of frontage uses.

Dominant soils are Ovid silt loam with the following soil characteristics:

Prime Farmland if drained

Permeability: moderately high

Hydrological Group C/D

Somewhat poorly drained

Erodibility: high

Partially Hydric

Depth to Water Table 36"

The applicant is currently offering the following incentives:

1. Financial contribution for extension of Mercier Boulevard
2. Financial contribution for pedestrian signal at new Mercier Boulevard/SR 96 intersection
3. Financial contribution for the installation of 18 off-site streetlights
4. Financial contribution to the Beaver Creek Sanitary Sewer Force Main project
5. Installation of the final leg of the pedestrian signal and crosswalk at SR 96 and Mertensia Road
6. Installation of sidewalk connection from on-site sidewalk on the east side of Mertensia Road south to the intersection of Creek View Road

The applicant is currently requesting the following incentives:

1. Relief from dimensional requirements of the MTOD regulations including
 - a. Reduce 50' setback from access road
 - b. Reduce 150' corner clearance from Mercier Boulevard for CNB
 - c. Reduce internal driveway corner clearance requirements from 75'
2. No need for special use permits for Tops fuel kiosk, Mavis Tire, and 2 tenant fast food restaurant with drive thru.
3. No need for 40,000 minimum lot size
4. Reduce internal lot line setbacks – lots 4,5, &6 to have depth of less than 200', lot 2 (plaza) to have zero front setback
5. No need for area variance for spacing of motor vehicle stations (Tops Fuel Kiosk and Mavis Tire) within 1,500' of Bryne Dairy
6. Reduce bank teller stacking requirements from 10 per teller lane or ATM
7. Parking requirements based on Uniform Building Code and relief from parking requirements for individual lots based on reciprocal parking, access, and utility easement.

The applicant's Incentive Zoning Intent letter also outlines vehicle and pedestrian circulation improvements that must be included in the proposed site plan and on-site streetlights, benches and trash receptacles to be provided along SR 96 frontage.

April 2022 Comments

1. The Town Board should ensure any building and parking coverage allowed reserves sufficient space for stormwater management and landscaping. The Town Board should consider identifying minimum open space requirements or maximum parking or impervious surface coverages.
2. The Town Board may also want to identify green infrastructure practices necessary to minimize impacts of stormwater quality and quantity to nearby Beaver Creek.
3. Will the proposed IZ allow fast food restaurants as a permitted use?
4. Will parking/stacking be sufficient if frontage lots with drive-thrus are developed with fast food uses?
5. How do the likely setbacks from the lease lines compare to required setbacks of the GB district?
6. As identified in plans and zoning for "Town Center" locations in other communities, to create a walkable pedestrian character it is desirable to encourage multi-story buildings, 4-6' of landscaped area between the road and sidewalk, and to locate parking to the rear or side of buildings and screen parking from the sidewalk. To create a desirable pedestrian environment, it is also important to provide pedestrian scale lighting (12'-14' poles) and features of visual interest such as 30 to 60 percent window transparency of adjacent buildings or pedestrian routes with landscaping on both sides. Pedestrian oriented development should provide not only pedestrian circulation along edge roadways, but also along site driveways and through parking areas to connect individual building entrances to the public sidewalk through landscaped parking medians and islands or along drainage swales. Typical pedestrian friendly design guidelines require landscaped islands or medians for every 20 to 40 spaces. See T. Canandaigua Uptown Plan and form-based code and comprehensive zoning code updates in the Town of Geneva and the Villages of Shortsville and Clifton Springs.
7. Should the incentive list include an advertising sign listing multiple site users?
8. The Town Board may want to consider inclusion of an amenity line item to fund site features such as light pole

Property Owner: *Victor Square Retail LLC*

Tax Map Parcel #: *6.01-2-47.100*

Brief Description: *Site plan for 4,000 SF building addition on the south end of Victor Square Shopping Center at 4-20 Commerce Drive in the Town of Victor. Applicant is also requesting a reduction in required parking from 270 to 202 spaces.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35115/118-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35130/118-2022-site-plan>

The site plan also shows installation of heavy-duty pavement at the entrance to the retail area.

119 -2022

Town of Victor Planning Board

Class: 1

Type: *Site Plan*

Applicant & Property Owner: *Commercial Street Partners*

Tax Map Parcel #: *1.07-1-5.000*

Brief Description: *Site plan to modify approved site plan for Woods at Valentown on High Point Drive in the Town of Victor. The modified Section 1 site will reconfigure 8.1 acres to accommodate 84 apartments in two 42-unit buildings instead of four 21 unit buildings, increase impervious area by .57 acres, and provide related green infrastructure, parking, club house and utility connections to accommodate changed building layout.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35131/119-2022-site-plan>

The overall project site includes 4 sections on 57 acres and was most recently reviewed as referral 1-2019 in January 2019 when the entire project was reconfigures as apartments instead of townhouses as proposed and reviewed in 2017 and 2018.

Submitted materials indicate the proposed site plan modifications will increase the impervious area when the previously approved plan involved significant disturbance and creation of steep slopes. The site plan currently proposed involves no change to access, or infringement on wetland or floodplain. Proposed parking includes 2 spaces per unit plus 29 spaces at the clubhouse; 1 space per unit will be an indoor parking space on the first level of the building. According to the letter of intent, proposed site plan continues to preserve .25 acres of woods and includes 36 additional trees.

Comments.

1. The ½ acre of mass tree planting area is outside the limit of disturbance.
2. The proposed site plan indicates a 0.10 acre smaller planting area. – Where does balance (.47 acres) of additional impervious surface come from?
3. The last referred site plan included 91 surface parking spaces in Section 1, there are now 106 surface spaces proposed.
4. Are the reconfigured buildings within the 4 story/48' building envelope previously reviewed?
5. Are there any changes to steep slope disturbance or additional areas of site with proposed grade steeper than 1:4?
6. What is the impact of snow storage on steeply sloped area between buildings and will such storage impact proposed tree planting at edge of parking? No other snow storage areas are shown.

OCSWCD Comments

1. Consider no phosphorus fertilizer unless soil test indicates otherwise.
2. Consider biodegradable erosion control fabric on steep slopes.
3. Concrete truck washout not indicated on plans.
4. Current stockpile location shows it being placed directly over top of a proposed stormwater inlet.
5. Consider alternative snow storage location based on proximity to regulated stream and proposed tree plantings.

120 -2022

Town of Victor Planning Board

Class: 1

Type: *Site Plan*

Applicant: *Timber Tree Top LLC*

Property Owner: *DST Associates LLC*

Tax Map Parcel #: *15.00-2-22.220*

Brief Description: *Site plan to add 10,000 SF of parking area on 1.6-acre site at 7355 SR 96/Hadley Circle in the Town of Victor. The expanded parking area will accommodate commercial vehicle and employee parking for Timber Top Tree.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35132/120-2022-site-plan>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35116/120-2022-aerial>

The proposed site plan includes 9-10 spruce along the northwest and southeast property boundaries and 4 giant arborvitae to screen parking from SR 96 and foundation landscaping at front of building.

Comments

1. Is there sidewalk or green infrastructure along southeast side of expanded parking area

OCSWCD Comments

1. Stormwater management facilities not indicated on plans. Water from impervious surfaces should be managed before leaving the property.
2. Stabilized construction entrance not identified.
3. Will topsoil be removed from site during grading? Location of topsoil stockpile not indicated on plans.

121 -2022

Town of Gorham Zoning Board of Appeals

Class: AR 2

Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant & Owner: *Merit & Jean Wilkinson*

Tax Map Parcel #: *113.07-1-6.100*

Brief Description: *Lot coverage and side setback variances to allow 35 percent lot coverage when 25 percent is allowed and for side setbacks of 11' when 15' setback is required at 3644 Dewey Cove in the Town of Gorham.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35133/121-2020-site-plan>

Policy AR 5 Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations. Part B Development of Lakefront Parcels.

- B. The following applies to all development on parcels with lake frontage that require;
 - variances pertaining to lot coverage or,

123 -2022 Town of West Bloomfield Planning Board Class: 1
 Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant: *James & Robie Schlenker*

Tax Map Parcel #: *66.00-1-49.000*

Brief Description: *Area variance to locate garage in front of house at 2408 Elton Street in the Town of West Bloomfield.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35135/123-2022>

Code only allows accessory structure in front yard if the house is 500' from the road and accessory use is 200' from road. The existing house is 405' from the road; the proposed garage location is near the house. .

124 -2022 Town of Victor Planning Board Class: *AR 1*
 Type: *Subdivision*

Applicant: *Elizabeth Vandenberg*

Tax Map Parcel #: *38.00-1-27.100*

Brief Description: *Three lot subdivision of 22-acre parcel at 1657 Strong Road in the Town of Victor.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35118/124-2022-aerial>

According to OnCor, the property and adjacent properties are in Ontario County Agricultural District #1. The property is not constrained by wetlands or floodplains. The site has areas of 16 to 30 and 31 to 60 percent slope. The EAF indicated 24% of the property has steep slopes.

The proposed lots include a 7 acre lot in the center of the frontage for the existing house, a lot to the north with 8.1 acres and a lot to the south with 6.2 acres.

Policy AR-6: Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings

1. One-and two-family residential uses represent 63% of the 49,354 parcels on the 2017 Ontario County assessment roll. Between 2012 and 2017 1,067 single family residential parcels were added and 13 two-family were removed. These parcels represent 89% of all parcels added county-wide.
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of onsite sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.
4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.
7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met.
8. It is the position of this Board that properly designed residential subdivision under five lots have little countywide or intermunicipal impact.

Final Recommendation: The CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve single family residential subdivisions under five lots.

Comments

1. The referring agency and applicant are encouraged to find ways to minimize the number of curb cuts by sharing or co-locating driveways or providing cross access between the parcels.
2. The applicant and referring agency should review the Victor Access Management regulations and ensure that the sight distances and spacing for the future driveways can comply with local standards and standards established by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).
3. The referring agency is also encouraged to ensure that any required soil percolation tests are completed and considered during subdivision review.
4. The applicant and referring agency are also strongly encouraged to involve Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and placement of on-site septic.

125 -2022

Town of Richmond Planning Board

Class: AR 1

Type: *Lot Line Adjustment*

Related Referrals: 126-2022

Applicant: *Robert & Susan Shapiro*

Tax Map Parcel #: 175.05-1-4.110 175.05-1-5.110 175.05-1-7.111

Brief Description: *Site plan and lot line adjustments for 3 lots with 3 homes at 5730 and 5734 Beecher Lane and 5844 East Lake Road on Honeoye Lake in the Town of Richmond.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35119/125-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35136/125-2022-125-2022-site-plan-Shapiro-PLA-6-24-22>

The lot line adjustment/re-subdivision includes 3 existing developed lots. Following the proposed lot line adjustments, the lot sizes will be 1.2, 1.0 and .92 acres. The properties are zoned D Residential -Lakeside. The D Residential-Lakeside district requires 5,000 SF lots.

Policy AR-6: Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings

1. One-and two-family residential uses represent 63% of the 49,354 parcels on the 2017 Ontario County assessment roll. Between 2012 and 2017 1,067 single family residential parcels were added and 13 two-family were removed. These parcels represent 89% of all parcels added county-wide.
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of on site sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.
4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.
7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those

Applicant & Property Owner: *Scott Hill*

Tax Map Parcel #: *126.12-2-4.00*

Brief Description: *Site plan and area variance for 864 SF garage with 20' front setback when 60' is required on uphill side of 4220 CR 16 in the Town of Canandaigua.*

129 -2022 Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals Class: *AR 1*
 Type: *Area Variance*

Related Referrals: *128-2022*

Applicant & Property Owner: *Scott Hill*

Tax Map Parcel #: *126.12-2-4.000*

Brief Description: *Site plan and area variance for 864 SF garage with 20' front setback when 60' is required on uphill side of 4220 CR 16 in the Town of Canandaigua.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35121/129-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35137/128-2022-CR-16-4220-2022-06-17-1-page-Site-Plan>

A previous area variance was granted to allow 28' front setback when 60' is required. Variance limits width of garage to 40' and use of second story for storage only. The property has pre-existing non-conforming lot width of 100' when 125' required

No variance application provided, however, submitted site plan table indicates requested front setback is 20' when 60' is required. The project will disturb .17 of .63 acre site.

Proposed garage construction results in creation of slopes steeper than 3H:1V which will be stabilized with an erosion control blanket. Site plan shows drainage areas to north and south of driveway. No information is provided regarding existing soils and whether drainage areas will be constructed to allow infiltration.

Policy AR-5: Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address residential development that may infringe on County ROW's or easements for roads and other infrastructure.
- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

C. Applications subject to policy AR-5 and not involving lakefront lots with coverage, or side or lakefront setback variances or with encroachments on County right-of-ways.

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings:

1. One-and two-family residential uses represent 63% of the 49,354 parcels on the 2017 Ontario County assessment roll. Between 2012 and 2017 1,067 single family residential parcels were added and 13 two-family were removed. These parcels represent 89% of all parcels added county-wide.
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of on-site sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.
4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.

7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met

Final Recommendation – With the exception of applications involving lakefront properties or encroachments to County owned right-of-ways described in AR Policy 5 Parts A and B, the CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

Comments

1. The Town is encouraged to grant only the minimum variance necessary to allow reasonable use of the lot.
2. The applicant and referring agency should Consult with the Ontario County Highway Department and ensure that the sight distances for the proposed driveway comply with standards established by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).
3. The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve Canandaigua Lake Watershed Manager as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implementation of storm water and erosion control measures.

130 -2022 Town of Canandaigua Planning Board Class: 1
 Type: *Site Plan*

Applicant: *Marks Engineering*

Property Owner: *Payne Avenue LLC*

Representative: *Joseph Cereo*

Tax Map Parcel #: *98.08-1-10.100*

Brief Description: *Site plan for 1,600 SF concrete patio, detached walk-in cooler, and parking area modifications at Finger Lakes Public House, 405 Lakeshore Drive at the corner of SR 364, in the Town of Canandaigua.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35122/130-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35138/130-2022-1-page-site-plan-Lakeshore-Drive-405-2022-06-17-Revised-Site-Plan>

The 1.1 acre lot is occupied by the restaurant along the western property line and a gas station/convenience store at the corner of SR 364. The front portion of the lot is in the City of Canandaigua and the rear portion of the lot is in the Town of Canandaigua. Adjacent uses include Canandaigua Country Club to the west and a mobile home park to the south both of which are buffered from the restaurant by a fence.

The concrete patio, cooler, and expanded parking area were completed without site plan approval. Other modifications currently proposed include 6 concrete planters to create a barrier between the parking area and the concrete pad, replacement of 3 shade trees along the southern property line and relocation of the fence closer to the property line to accommodate such plantings; removal of storage trailer located south of the restaurant and replacement with lawn area.

131 -2022 Town of Farmington Zoning Board of Appeals Class: 1
 Type: *Area Variance*

Related Referrals: *132*

Applicant: *John Hotto Land Tech*

Property Owner: *Edward Samoel*

Tax Map Parcel #: 29.00-1-86.200

Brief Description: *Area variance for 50' building setback to SR 332 when 100' is required and 30' building setback to Loomis Road when 80' is required to accommodate two 10,000 SF warehouse units on 2.5 acre site at the southwest corner of Loomis Road and Plastermill Road in the Town of Farmington.*

https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35123/131-2022_2900-1-86200_Aerial-Photo-Map
<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35139/131-2022-132-2022-site-plan>

According to OnCor, there is a potential wetland area located in the southeast corner of the site. The property is not constrained by floodplains or steep slopes and is not in the agricultural district.

Comments

1. Is 50' setback sufficient to maintain undisturbed wooded buffer along SR 332?
2. Does the plan preserve any on-site woods?

132 -2022 Town of Farmington Zoning Board of Appeals Class: 1
 Type: *Area Variance*

Related Referrals: 131

Applicant: *John Hotto*

Property Owner: *Edward Samoel*

Tax Map Parcel #: 29.00-1-86.200

Brief Description: *Area variance for MTOD standards to accommodate two 10,000 SF warehouse units on 2.5 acre site at the southwest corner of Loomis Road and Plastermill Road in the Town of Farmington.*

See information at 131-2022.

133 -2022 Town of Victor Planning Board Class: 1
 Type: *Subdivision*

Applicant: *BRW of Greece LLC*

Tax Map Parcel #: 28.04-1-48.000

Brief Description: *Subdivision for 10 clustered lots on 11 acres and 5.5 acres of preserved woods in Southgate Hills Phase 3 off East Victor Road in the Town of Victor.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35124/133-2022-aerial>
<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35140/133-2022-subdivision>

The proposed development, 3.8 acres of conservation easement, and a stormwater access/conservation area are located on the portion of the lot to the east of East Victor Road. The subdivision plan does not show the portion of the lot west of East Victor Road. The proposed lots are a minimum of 13,815 SF lots with 25' front setback and 80' width at setback line.

Project development requires upgrading downstream sewer pump station. Stormwater to discharge to on-site infiltration area of 0.05 acres. The project also involves development of a parking area and connection to auburn trail from the north end of property and a sidewalk along the west side of East Victor Road.

The EAF indicates the project involves disturbance of 6.6 of the 11 acres site and .9 acres of impervious yet indicates 1.2 acres converted to boards and buildings and removal of 4.4 acres of forest and 1.4 acre of meadow. According to OnCor, the property is in Ontario County Agricultural District #1 and has areas of 16 to 30 percent slope. The property is not constrained by wetlands or floodplains.

Comments

1. The subdivision plan shows provided and required sight and stopping distances but not compliance with connection spacing and intersection off-set requirements of Access Management regulations for street intersection, pond access, and trail parking.
2. How are proposed lots and the development/sidewalk on the west side of East Victor Road intended to access the Auburn Trail?
3. Will development involve disturbance of steep slope areas or creation of additional slopes of 15 percent or greater?
4. The referring body should clarify the acreage of preserved land; the letter of intents says 5.5 acres preserved, while the EAF indicates 5.8 acres preserved.

OCSWCD Comments

1. Concrete truck washout must be located greater than 100 feet from all stormwater inlets.
2. Silt fence should curve upslope at ends.
3. Silt fence needed in southern portion of work zone.
4. Consider no phosphorus fertilizer unless soil test indicates otherwise.

134 -2022 Town of Richmond Town Board Class: 2
 Type: *Moratorium*
 Applicant: *Town Board*

Brief Description: *Second 12 month moritorium on development of large-scale battery energy storage system installations in the Town of Richmond.*

135 -2022 Town of Geneva Zoning Board of Appeals Class: AR 2
 Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant & Property Owner: *John Balduzzi & Jessica Evangelista*

Tax Map Parcel #: *161.20-1-31.000*

Brief Description: *Area variance for 8' north setback when 12.5' is required and continuation of existing 6' south side setback when 12.5' is required for replacement home at 5395 Kashong Point in the Town of Geneva.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35142/135-2022-site-plan>

Policy AR 5 Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations. Part B Development of Lakefront Parcels.

- B. The following applies to all development on parcels with lake frontage that require;
- variances pertaining to lot coverage or,
 - variances pertaining to side yard setbacks or,
 - variance pertaining to lake shore setbacks

The CPB's role of reviewing and making recommendations on county wide development has provided a unique perspective on the trend of more intensive development and use of lakefront lots. Of particular concern are the incremental negative impacts to water quality and the character of our lakefront neighborhoods. The following policy is a result of discussion and debate spanning 18 months as well as consultation with outside agencies directly involved with water quality issues in Ontario County. The intent is to address over development of lakefront lots and support the clearly stated interest by local decision makers to do the same.

Final Classification: 2

Findings:

1. Protection of water features is a stated goal of the CPB.
2. The Finger Lakes are an indispensable part of the quality of life in Ontario County.
3. Increases in impervious surface lead to increased runoff and pollution.
4. Runoff from lakefront development is more likely to impact water quality.
5. It is the position of this Board that the legislative bodies of lakefront communities have enacted setbacks and limits on lot coverage that allow reasonable use of lakefront properties.
6. Protection of community character, as it relates to tourism, is a goal of the CPB.
7. It is the position of this Board that numerous variances can allow over development of properties in a way that negatively affects public enjoyment of the Finger Lakes and overall community character.
8. It is the position of this Board that such incremental impacts have a cumulative impact that is of countywide and intermunicipal significance.

Final Recommendation: Denial

Comments:

1. The referring body is encouraged to grant only the minimum variance necessary to allow reasonable use of the lot.
2. The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implementation of storm water and erosion control measures.
3. The existing septic system is characterized as failed by the Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District. The applicant and referring agency should consult with OCSWCD regarding requirements for removal of existing system components and design of new wastewater treatment system.

136 -2022

Town of Geneva Zoning Board of Appeals

Class: 1

Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant: *Gary Phillip*

Property Owner: *GP Advisory Group LLC*

Tax Map Parcel #: *13.*

,11-1-1.000

Brief Description: *Area variance to locate 162 SF shed 6' from the south property line when 10' is required and to locate shed in front of the principal building at 7 Bayview Terrace in the Town of Geneva.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35125/136-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35143/136-2022-sketch>

Proposed location is adjacent to existing driveway turnaround.

137 -2022 Town of Hopewell Planning Board Class: *I*

Type: *Site Plan*

Applicant: *Allen Weaver*

Property Owner: *3741 SR 5 & 20*

Tax Map Parcel #: *99.00-2-27.111*

Brief Description: *Site plan for 2,300 SF greenhouse at Lakeside Greenhouse, southwest of SR 5/US 20 and Mumby Road at 3500 Mumby Road in the Town of Hopewell.*

https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35126/137-2022_9900-2-27111_Aerial-Photo-Map

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35144/137-2022-site-plan>

According to OnCor, the 4.61 acre lot and neighboring properties are not in Ontario County Agricultural District #1. The commercially zoned property has a residence on-site and is not constrained by wetlands, floodplains, or steep slopes. The property is already developed with 2 barns encompassing 1,400 SF, 2 greenhouses encompassing 4,600 SF, 2 garages, and a small shed.

Proposed lot disturbance includes the new greenhouse and 1,600 SF gravel pad for expanded and future parking areas.

OCSWCD Comments

1. More information needed to show how runoff from greenhouse structure will be managed.
2. Indicate where topsoil stockpile will be located/ where topsoil will be spread following grading for gravel parking area.
3. All disturbed areas should be seeded or covered with gravel following construction.

138 -2022 Town of Bristol Planning Board Class: *TR*

Type: *Subdivision*

Applicant: *Priscilla Muller*

Representative: *Venezia and Associates Land Surveyors*

Tax Map Parcel #: *109.00-1-83.110*

Brief Description: *Two lot subdivision of 117 acre parcel between Weiland Road and Oakmont Road in the Town of Bristol just south of the East Bloomfield town line.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35145/138-2-22-subdivision-plat>

According to OnCor, the property is in Agricultural District #1. The property is not constrained by floodplains or NYS Dec wetlands. There is a small area of 16 to 30 percent slope in the northwest corner of Lot 2 and significant portions of Lot 1 south of the driveway have areas of 16 to 30 or 31 to 60 percent slope. The pond that straddles the lots is identified on the NWI as a potential wetland.

Additional technical review comments making sure subdivision provides access to both created lots and retains access to residence on parcel. 109-1-16.200

139 -2022 Town of Bristol Planning Board Class: *I*

Type: *Subdivision*

Applicant & Property Owner: *John & Sharon Lee Karle*

Representative: *Venezia & Associates Land Surveyors*

Tax Map Parcel #: *122.00-3-2.420*

Brief Description: *Three lot subdivision of 120 acre property east and west of Green Road at Buckelew Road in the Town of Bristol adjacent to the Town of Richmond.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35127/139-2022-aerial>

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35146/139-2022-subdivision>

The property includes a mix of wooded and open areas. Property is home to threatened or endangered short-eared owl as per EAF mapper. According to OnCor, the property is in Ontario County Agricultural District #1 and is not constrained by floodplains. A portion of an 86 acre wetland regulated by NYSDEC is located in the northwest portion of proposed Lot 3. Areas along the unmarked stream corridor on proposed Lot 2, the marked stream corridor on proposed Lot 1 and significant areas of proposed Lot 4 are slopes of 16 to 30 percent.

Proposed lots will be 30, 41, and 48 acres each with frontage on one or more public roads.

Comments

1. The stream shown on OnCor through lots 3 and 4 should be shown on the survey.

There is no referral 140-2022

141 -2022 Town of Geneva Zoning Board of Appeals Class: AR 2

Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant: *Elliot Garcia*

Property Owner: *Robin Goldstone Garcia*

Tax Map Parcel #: *147.08-1-18.000*

Brief Description: *Area variance for existing building front setback of 15' from centerline of private road when 20' is required and side setback of 5' when 12.5' is required at 4637 Whites Point in the Town of Geneva.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35128/141-2022-aerial>

The applicant proposes to convert an existing garage into residential space instead of building a larger home also intended for use as a short-term rental.

Policy AR 5 Applications involving one single family residential site, including home occupations.

Part B Development of Lakefront Parcels.

C. The following applies to all development on parcels with lake frontage that require;

- variances pertaining to lot coverage or,
- variances pertaining to side yard setbacks or,
- variance pertaining to lake shore setbacks

The CPB's role of reviewing and making recommendations on county wide development has provided a unique perspective on the trend of more intensive development and use of lakefront lots. Of particular concern are the incremental negative impacts to water quality and the character of our lakefront neighborhoods. The following policy is a result of discussion and debate spanning 18 months as well as consultation with outside agencies directly involved with water quality issues in Ontario County. The intent is to address over development of lakefront lots and

support the clearly stated interest by local decision makers to do the same.

Final Classification: 2

Findings:

1. Protection of water features is a stated goal of the CPB.
2. The Finger Lakes are an indispensable part of the quality of life in Ontario County.
3. Increases in impervious surface lead to increased runoff and pollution.
4. Runoff from lakefront development is more likely to impact water quality.
5. It is the position of this Board that the legislative bodies of lakefront communities have enacted setbacks and limits on lot coverage that allow reasonable use of lakefront properties.
6. Protection of community character, as it relates to tourism, is a goal of the CPB.
7. It is the position of this Board that numerous variances can allow over development of properties in a way that negatively affects public enjoyment of the Finger Lakes and overall community character.
8. It is the position of this Board that such incremental impacts have a cumulative impact that is of countywide and intermunicipal significance.

Final Recommendation: Denial

Comments:

1. The referring body is encouraged to grant only the minimum variance necessary to allow reasonable use of the lot.
2. The applicant and referring agency are strongly encouraged to involve the Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implementation of storm water and erosion control measures.

142 -2022

Town of Richmond Town Board

Class: 1

Type: *Area Variance*

Applicant & Owner: *Flavia & William Frost*

Tax Map Parcel #: *150.05-1-77.110 & 150.50-1-79.100*

Brief Description: *Area variance to allow lot line adjustment to remove portion of 1.1 acre lot at 4466 CR 33 and add it to a lot at 4446 CR 33. The lots are on the south side of CR 33 at East Lake Road in the Town of Richmond. There is a 3,000 SF pole barn/former shop on the portion of the lot to be transferred.*

<https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/35114/142-2022-aerial>

The application materials erroneously indicate that the properties are zoned C Residential-Recreation and that a 2 acre lot is required. A small portion of the existing 1.2 acre lot is zoned C Residential-Recreation. The remainder of both properties are zoned E Business as are adjacent lots on the east side of East Lake Road. The E Business district require a 5,000 SF lot or a 2 acre lot if not connected to public sewer. The east side of East Lake Road is not in the sewer district and these properties are not connected to public sewers.

Comments

1. The referring body should clarify with the Town Engineer whether the properties could be added to the sewer district in the future and consider any requirement for such future connection.